

Ashleigh Banfield's Post

330 792 46



All comments ▾



David Magallanes · 2d

[Yessica Magallanes](#)



Reply



Susan Felicity · 2d

So many questions here.



Reply

5



Robyn Hlewka · 2d

[Susan Felicity](#) yeah, like how long will he live and why isn't he dead yet.



Reply

1



Reply to Susan Felicity...



Renee Alkazoff Kulon · 2d

I don't think he did it



Reply

42



Robert Rogers · 2d

[Renee Alkazoff Kulon](#) i question it. I don't feel confident either way in saying he didn't do it or that he did. There's so many Q's and things that don't add up. I've watched the full police interrogation videos... and yeah, just doesn't seem like the guy. But it doesn't mean he didn't do it. Maybe he did.
I just know the state didn't help itself @ trial.



Reply

4



Renee Alkazoff Kulon · 2d

[Robert Rogers](#) I think they did a poor investigation & wanted to close the case & chose him & it was a false confession. But yes it is confusing. It was a high profile case & needed a patsy Unfortunately the truth sometimes doesn't matter they just want to close a case



Reply

9



Claire Ceci · 2d

[Renee Alkazoff Kulon](#) It really was not confusing to those of us who followed this case from day one. Judge Gull did not even let the defense team show evidence. Something very fishy happened in this case and I bet millions of us don't buy what the state "tried" to say.



Reply

7



Renee Alkazoff Kulon · 2d

[Claire Ceci](#) ya I didn't follow it that intensely. But from what I watched I don't think he did it. Let me know if I'm wrong but they didn't put much information out I am all for the law but I do know they sometimes don't care about the truth it's about closing cases. I hope he gets a new trial so we can make our decision over the facts. Im assuming your into true crime. I also feel the state screwed up the investigation on the Idaho 4 & that's why they made a deal. They never included the on the plea & that's very very unusual. Ya so I think there is something there & im not conspiracy nut. But think about why it didn't go to trial & prosecution actually snuck a deal against the families wishes



Reply



Reply to Robert Rogers...



Melissa Wilson · 2d

[Renee Alkazoff Kulon](#) if he didn't do it why was the jacket of who did it found in his house



Reply



Trisha Smith · 2d

[Melissa Wilson](#) never any proof or DNA of any kind on the jacket. This man should never have been convicted!



Reply



Hernandez C Elizabeth · 1d

[Melissa Wilson](#) It was also said that the jacket was purchased in 2019, I believe. I remember seeing someone mentioning that in one of the groups on this case.



Reply



Reply to Melissa Wilson...



Reply to Renee Alkazoff Kulon...



Claire Ceci · 2d

He said in the very beginning he was innocent. Then they stuck him in solitary confinement for 13 months (against his constitutional rights) and he declined into psychosis. Then they coerced him into confessing. He certainly should get an appeal. And what was done to those two girls is evil. Justice has not been served yet.



Reply



Robyn Hlewka · 2d

[Claire Ceci](#) he declined into psychosis because he was in segregation?? I can't believe people like you actually buy that shit. His "psychosis" was an act. A couple doses of haldol later and voila!! Just like that he's back to normal.



Reply





Shane Jones · 2d

Robyn Hlewka Facts ¹⁰⁰....THAT PIECE OF SHIT DID IT....WHY WAS A BULLET (HIS BULLET FROM HIS GUN) FOUND UNDER ONE OF THE LITTLE GIRL'S?? THAT NIGGA KILLED 2 LITTLE GIRL'S NO APPEAL FOR YOU MOTHER FUCKER....KILL YOURSELF 🙌🙌🙌



Reply



Zach Parker · 2d

Robyn Hlewka are you retarded or do you just pretend to be? You are aware solitary is one of the worst things you can do to someone mentally.



Reply



Claire Ceci · 2d

Robyn Hlewka I do not have to defend myself to you. So I will say "whatever" now.



Reply



Kevin Ailes · 2d

Robyn Hlewka You wouldn't happen to be from Russia would you?

Thankfully, the appeals court judges know a little more about mental illness than you do!

Why in the world would the judge prohibit the defense from showing a sketch that investigators showed to millions and millions of people during the course of their investigation?..... don't you remember?..... have you seen this guy, if so call us!!!!..... now the jury can't see the sketch WHY? Like the appeal judge said "a picture is worth a thousand words"!!!

At this point, it's not about Allen's guilt or innocence..... The issue before us is.... WAS HIS TRIAL FAIR????

I say NO!

Way too many issues that is not conducive to a fair trial!



Reply



Michelle Rainer-Gaughan · 2d

Robyn Hlewka At what point was he back to "normal" Dr?



Reply



Kris Studley · 2d

Robyn Hlewka did you even watch the appeal hearing? One of the judges said, "You protected him into psychosis", when the state kept saying he wasn't being punished, that he was kept in solitary for his own protection.



Reply

Edited



Robyn Hlewka · 2d

Kris Studley I did watch it. That judge is just as gullible as you.



Reply



Robyn Hlewka · 2d

Michelle Rainer-Gaughan lol... did you not read my comment??
I clearly said "a couple of doses of haldol and he was back to normal".
That's literally what happened.



Reply



Kris Studley · 2d

Robyn Hlewka I see you're Canadian, I should have known by your pompous and irrational arrogance.



Reply



Michelle Rainer-Gaughan · 2d

Robyn Hlewka He is far from being back to normal, haldol is only a blocker so I'm missing your point unless you are being sarcastic furthermore, none of us know if he was already displaying signs of psychosis previous to all of this. There are various states of psychosis & by the time the psychiatrist evaluated him & confirmed it he was already in severe psychosis & maybe you should do yourself a favor & read up on psychosis



Reply

Edited



Mark A. Pequignot · 2d

Robyn Hlewka That's B.S.! And you should be ashamed.... go snack on some feces Robyn!



Reply



Robyn Hlewka · 2d

Michelle Rainer-Gaughan you bleeding hearts make me laugh... what psychiatrists?? Hired to evaluate him by the defense?? That right there tells you all you need to know. Haldol must be awful because he chose acting right as opposed to his crazy routine. Being segregated does not make a normal person have a psychotic episode. Fuck him... he's guilty.



Reply



Robyn Hlewka · 2d

Shane Jones hey dummy, read my comment again. I think you're replying to the wrong person. I clearly said he was putting on a crazy act. He is guilty



Reply



Robyn Hlewka · 2d

Zach Parker fuck right off. That is bullshit.



Reply



Robyn Hlewka · 2d

Michelle Rainer-Gaughan eat shit fuck face



Reply



Robyn Hlewka · 2d

Kris Studley you make me sick. You fucking stupid cunt. ...



Reply





Michelle Rainer-Gaughan · 2d

Robyn Hlewka Bleeding hearts 🤔 Dr John Martin was not appointed by Richard Allens defense team! Obviously you haven't followed this case. You resort to calling names because that's all you have! Grow up!



Reply

Edited



Kris Studley · 2d

Robyn Hlewka I know Canada has extremely long backlogs for psychiatric treatment. I'm sorry you're not receiving the help you need for your illness.



Reply



Robyn Hlewka · 2d

Kris Studley lmao... get fucked. The mental illness here is sticking up for a child killer.



Reply



Kris Studley · 2d

Robyn Hlewka You're not American, perhaps that's why you're not understanding the current appeal issue is not about guilt, it's about OUR constitution and rights to due process. Canada has no shortage of its own problems, perhaps you should focus on what impacts your own country instead of trying to meddle in our's.



Reply



Libby Baca · 1d

Claire Ceci I completely agree with you I have a loved one at the same prison and it is beyond terrible... he tells me some of the horror story of those men that's put in solitary confinement... They never come out the same



Reply



Reply to Robyn Hlewka...



Jennifer Stewart · 2d

Claire Ceci first off you are wrong. He confessed to his family way before he every faked being in a psychotic state of mind. His ex wife and ex step daughter both agree he is guilty. That says a lot. He is a narcissist murderer and deserves what he got.



Reply



Claire Ceci · 2d

Jennifer Stewart Whatever.



Reply



Reply to Jennifer Stewart...



Joanne Healey · 2d

Claire Ceci He confessed before and after his psychosis. Only five confessions out of 60+ happened during the time he was in psychosis.



Reply





Claire Ceci · 2d

Joanne Healey Nope.



Reply



Joanne Healey · 2d

Claire Ceci Wrong.



Reply



Kevin Ailes · 2d

Joanne Healey Wrong!

You have to listen to all his recorded calls..... he clearly states!

I don't know what I'm doing

I'm out of my mind

He said these things while the state contends he was ok

We all want justice for Libby & Abby..... justice means convicting the right person if the prosecutors case was so strong, why all the shady stuff???



Reply



Christy Sharp · 2d

Joanne Healey Why did Gull have the audio of those videos removed at trial. Jurors didn't get the whole context of what was going on. All 3 judges didn't like that. State controlled what the jurors saw & heard. A lot of handout of pics.



Reply



Michelle Rainer-Gaughan · 2d

Joanne Healey He also denied it numerous times before & after he was arrested & imprisoned. During the initial interrogation, the interrogator became very verbally aggressive & was trying to force a confession out of him. A person can only be badgered for so long before their mind breaks & they give in just to stop the craziness. It started there & continued & got worse once he was put in prison. Watching his 1st interrogation & then his wife coming in the room during it & the conversation they had, I don't believe he's guilty at all.



Reply

Edited



Melissa Wilson · 2d

Kevin Ailes but why did they find the jacket of the man's in his house please explain that



Reply



Michelle Rainer-Gaughan · 2d

Melissa Wilson Delphi is a small town. Most of the men more than likely shop at the same store & a blue, black & brown Carhart jackets are very common everywhere. I'd would rather someone explain how not even a speck of blood was found on his jacket or anywhere being that the murders were very violent with a lot of blood loss. I would also like to know if Richard Allen has a bad leg that causes him to walk with a severe limp like the man on the high bridge



Reply

Edited



Michael Ragan · 1d

[Melissa Wilson](#) it was a similar jacket.



Reply



Virginia R Himes · 1d

[Michael Ragan](#) and with no DNA evidence. Blue Carhartt jackets are a dime a dozen in Indiana, just about every guy has one.



Reply



Reply to Joanne Healey...



Marcia Mahony · 2d

[Claire Ceci](#) Oh, if he claimed he was innocent, why even have a trial? The only people who are guilty are the ones who admit it from the beginning.

I do have concerns about his confessions, given his psychosis. However, they did not coerce him. They didn't even interrogate him in jail.



Reply

Edited



Kris Studley · 2d

[Marcia Mahony](#) how do you know they didn't coerce him? Did you hear what was said on the videos when he was in solitary? No, because the state convinced the judge to remove the audio!



Reply



Michelle Rainer-Gaughan · 2d

[Marcia Mahony](#) No he was horribly interrogated before he was arrested the same day on Oct 26th, 2022, badgered & bullied by the interrogator because he wasn't saying what they wanted to hear & as far as the rest, nobody knows what they did or said while he was in jail or prison unless you were there.



Reply

Edited





Kevin Ailes · 1d

Michelle Rainer-Gaughan I can assure you he was badgered by the confirmed gang member prison guards 24 hours a day!

Come on Rick, tell us you did it

You are a child killer Rick

Tell us you did it Rick and things will get better for you

All you gotta do is confess Rick

Who helped you Rick

You killed those girls Rick

He heard this 24 hours a day!!!!

He was put in the "hole" in an effort to get him to roll on his accomplice..... according to the prosecutor, at the prosecutor's post arrest press conference

"More arrests are coming"

"This is still a on-going investigation"

At the time of Allen's arrests, the state firmly believed more than one person was involved..... it's clear by the post arrest press conference!



Reply



1



Reply to Marcia Mahony...



Joanne Mi · 2d

Claire Ceci yeah that's why he kept going back-and-forth saying he did it that he didn't do it. I don't know what they did to him in there, but what he was saying and exhibiting was not normal.



Reply



1



Robyn Hlewka · 2d

Claire Ceci I call you names because you're sticking up for a child murderer ...



Reply



Reply to Claire Ceci...





Chasity Young · 2d

This is why defendants rights should always matter. No matter the crime, or how we feel about the person. By giving EVERY defendant a fair trial, the families suffering don't have to reopen those wounds they are trying so hard to heal.

The judge, the prosecutor, the police, and the rest should be held accountable for what they did in this case. Turning the loss of these two innocent girls Abby Libby into a circus. Richard Allen tried to help, and was cleared. The police lied so they could search his home. The same home he lived in when he first contacted law enforcement. He drove the same car, had the same email, same phone number, same job 5 years later. He didn't leave town, change his number, get a different car or job. He worked at CVS where a picture of the sketch of the alleged killer was hanging. Attended a local bar regularly with his wife where another picture hung. No one thought for a second he could be the man in the picture. No one called the tip line believing he was the killer. He went on about his life.

When contacted 5 yrs later he cooperates with law enforcement, does not request an attorney, rides to the police station to try to help again. Seems very relaxed. It wasn't until they turned him into their suspect that he became defensive.

He continues to speak without an attorney. Any innocent person would have behaved the exact same way. Had he committed that crime, he would have been expecting that call or visit and he would have been shaking like a leaf. He would have been looking over his shoulders every single day. His behavior would have changed, his appearance, and so much more. It didn't. He owns the same type of gun that many do. His gun matched the bullet only after they fired it to make it match. The bullet was unspent. No eye witness identified Richard Allen. "Bridge guy" could have no connection to the crime. Bridge guy is not Richard Allen either. The killer(s) are still out here or dead.

Abby and Libby will never receive justice keeping Richard Allen in prison for their murder. He is not the killer. Police know this too. They are the real monsters. They allowed this to unfold in an unconstitutional, and unjust manner.



Reply



15



Ronda Hurley · 2d

Chasity Young and the family needs the truth no matter who did it



Reply



3



Marcia Mahony · 1d

Chasity Young I agree that we need fair trials. And I have concerns about using the confession of a mentally ill person. But no one turned this into a circus. The police didn't lie to search his home. You lose credibility when you exaggerate to make your point.



Reply



Chasity Young · 1d

Marcia Mahony no exaggerating over here.



Reply



1



Reply to Marcia Mahony...





Reply to Chasity Young...



Shelley Bryant · 2d

He is innocent and a Federal Investigation of that county needs to be conducted. Something is VERY WRONG THERE.



Reply



28



Shane Jones · 2d

[Shelley Schmaltz](#) Yeah something is WRONG THIS PIECE OF SHIT KILLED 2 LITTLE GIRL'S



Reply



Lisa Muhs · 2d

[Shelley Schmaltz](#) there is FBI presence.



Reply



Claire Ceci · 2d

[Lisa Muhs](#) I hope and pray this is true. I also hope we can trust their investigation. Because so far justice has not been served.



Reply



1



Reply to Lisa Muhs...



Reply to Shelley Bryant...



Joanne Healey · 2d

I gladly accept a new trial. I can't wait for the Odinist defense to come in. 🙄



Reply



37



Marian Jean · 2d

[Joanne Healey](#) I really doubt that'll happen. There was no basis to pursue that or it would've happened



Reply



5



Joanne Healey · 2d

[Marian Jean](#) Exactly, that's why I left a 🙄



Reply



Marian Jean · 2d

[Joanne Healey](#) I honestly didnt see that lol



Reply



Terry Young · 2d

[Marian Jean](#) not if it wasn't allowed



Reply



3



Alex Dossey · 2d

[Marian Jean](#) You have never went through Indiana's corrupt legal system! ...



Reply



2



Calypso Scentflower · 2d

Marian Jean, Wrong. Brad Holder and Patrick Westfall are BOTH part of Odonist and Asatruism and they are BOTH BIG TIME INTREST IN THIS CASE. Brad Holder is the dad of the boy Abby was dating, there is A LOT that was not presented to the jury.



👍 🗨 Reply



Rhonda Blair Quintero · 2d

Marian Jean The reason why it wasn't pursued is because Judge Gull refused to allow it.

👍 🗨 Reply 👍 5



Marcia Mahony · 1d

Marian Jean Exactly.

👍 🗨 Reply



Kenny Catron · 1d

Terry Young if there's no basis to allow it, there's no basis to allow it. That's WHY it wasn't presented!

👍 🗨 Reply 👍 1



Kenny Catron · 1d

Calypso Scentflower, that sounds like a lot of conspiracy. Investigators stated that all suspects were looked at, and eliminated except for Richard Allen.

👍 🗨 Reply



Kenny Catron · 1d

Rhonda Blair Quintero she didn't allow it, because there was no basis to allow it! 🤔

👍 🗨 Reply 👍 1



Terry Young · 1d

Kenny Catron There WAS a basis and Gull suppressed! Don't YOU want justice for those girls either. Seems like it. I want the whole story to get that.

👍 🗨 Reply 👍 2



Rhonda Blair Quintero · 1d

Kenny Catron No, she didn't allow it, like so many other things, because she was biased agaibst RA from day 1. She should be removed from the bench.



Reply



Reply to Marian Jean...



Rick Gruss · 2d

Joanne Healey if you knew what happens out in those woods at night, you would think differently, quickly



Reply



Jeremy Lee · 2d

Rick Gruss the getters will get you?



Reply



Rhonda Blair Quintero · 2d

Rick Gruss What happens out there?



Reply



Kenny Catron · 1d

Rick Gruss, yes, what happens out there?



Reply



Reply to Rick Gruss...



Fransik Regina · 2d

Joanne Healey exactly if that doesn't work maybe they'll try aliens or the boogeyman



Reply



Rhonda Blair Quintero · 2d

Fransik Regina Or perhaps they can actually go out and find the real killer(s).



Reply



Tony Mayhew · 2d

Rhonda Blair Quintero please share why you think the wrong man was arrested and convicted. You must have information that the jury was given.



Reply



Libby Baca · 1d

Rhonda Blair Quintero THIS!! Isn't Justice if they get the wrong man...



Reply





Rhonda Blair Quintero · 1d

Tony Mayhew No motive, no evidence- dna or otherwise, shoddy detective work, he looks nothing like either police 'sketch', he does not sound like the bridge guy recording -which experts have testified was heavily altered anyway, he does not fit the build or look like bridge guy- (who actually could be any number of average-looking men.)

Oh, and let's not forget that Judge Gull was biased from Day 1 and refused to allow pertinent information to be released during the trial.

Oh, and there's also the fact that he was illegally held in solitary confinement for months in a prison with convicted inmates, despite having yet to be tried and convicted at the time.

That simple fact right there should grant him an immediate new trial.

Shall I go on?



Reply



1



Rhonda Blair Quintero · 1d

Libby Baca Correct. It's not justice. It is a travesty of justice. You may want to look up the meaning of that phrase. No justice was served her.



Reply



Reply to Fransik Regina...



Bsue Price · 2d

Joanne Healey Why do you want a murderer of children back in the streets! What is wrong with you?!



Reply



Marcia Mahony · 1d

Bsue Price They genuinely believe he didn't do it. I'm with his; he did it.



Reply



1



Reply to Bsue Price...



Anita Poole · 1d

Joanne Healey I don't think a cult would kill 2 girls in broad daylight. They usually lurk at night.

Richard Allen is guilty. He is the man in the video, not a cult.



Reply



Julie Boyko · 1d

Joanne Healey two young girls were brutally murdered. This is real life not a movie for your entertainment. Shame on you.



Reply



2



William Ernest Fannin · 1d

Julie Boyko apparently, you dont live in real life, where you dont have a say in what others think or comment. You dont control anyone, except yourself. Maybe you should start by evusceratong your bleeding heart agenda and realize the outlandish occurrence of her reference. If you dont know what that is, then buy a vowel and a clue. She said nothing about or against the murder of the two girls. Yet, your panties are all knitted up. For what? For your soapbox attempt at getting attention ir belittling another person, who had a point-of-view that didn't align with your pitiful whine? Get a life, basic reading comprehension, self-control and social enrichment. And, for the sake of Mary, herself...get rid of your narcissism, KAREN. THUS is REAL life. Or, you can stop stealing relevant peoples oxygen, to remove yourself from the gene pool. You have no valid argument. Embarrassingly stupid.



Reply



Julie Boyko · 1d

William Ernest Fannin if u think I wasted my time reading your short fictional story...wrong. First three words and done. 🤔wasted energy on ur part. 🤔



Reply



William Ernest Fannin · 1d

Julie Boyko no need to lie. My story is that of your biography. In short youre a stupid, narcissistic toddler bitch. But lets tru yiur comment on fir size.

Two young girls were brutally murdered. Not a comedy club or celebrity roast or movie for your entertainment. See how your dumb c*it self-serving comment to get attention has no relevance? Its open to apply to you and what yoyre saying and posting laughing emoji. So, go and fuck yourself all the way off. You have no valid argument here. KAREN. Stop your narcissism and stop stealing peoples oxygen. None of the comment you lashed out against was about the goddamn murders, victims or any of that. The comment is a muse to the presentation of outlandish "testimony" by what is presumed a fake "exoert" to build a case because the public and tear-jerks like you demand someone gets punished immediately. Like a drive-thru is exoected to expedite. Your twisting and manipulation of someone else's words and ASSumption of their intended context is absolutely disgusting. Uour refusal to apply knowledge and respect the views of others constitutes absolute stupidity. Go back to munching your carpet.



Reply

Edited



Julie Boyko · 1d

William Ernest Fannin 🤔

...



Reply



Reply to Julie Boyko...





Shannon Van Zee · 1d

Joanne Healey well considering they do not have to prove that he didnt do it and the fact that a new trial would mean none of the bullshit van story, or the magic bullet, or the hidden drawing, or any of the false shit that the dumbass jurors ate up, I am speaking directly to you Mia, that allowed Nick to feed you, cuz he was like so cute. And while you had cookouts with the so cute bodyguard. You truly should be embarrassed to show your face.



Reply



Reply to Joanne Healey...



Brad Baker · 2d

Doesn't hurt to ask, I guess. Hes got nothing but time.



Reply



Tonya N Greg · 2d

He's innocent



Reply



14



Marcia Mahony · 1d

Tonya N Greg He did it.



Reply



2



Reply to Tonya N Greg...



Bonnie HF · 2d

Maybe doesn't make a difference. But one of the judges went to Valparaiso Law School. So did Judge Gull. Only a few years apart.



Reply



8



Erica Morse · 2d

Bonnie HF oh, it makes a difference!



Reply



2



Marcia Mahony · 1d

Erica Morse Where did you go to law school?



Reply



2



Reply to Erica Morse...



Michael Brambley · 1d

Bonnie HF Oden Brothers



Reply



Reply to Bonnie HF...





Nacole Capps Brewer · 2d
Read carefully



Reply



2



Misty D Jackson Winningham · 2d

Nacole Capps Brewer Holder & them wasn't on the Bridge & that is why, they had Alibis& were at work the same time the Girls were killed ,Guess who was on the Bridge ?RA!



Reply



1



Nacole Capps Brewer · 1d

Misty D Jackson Winningham You must not know his job and what they stated.. Anyone can leave or have someone clock him in and out.. He didn't have to have his phone either.. Someone could've took it for him to give him an alibi.. He supposedly went to the gym.. The gym you can use a phone to check in but not be there.. I mean both sketches look like Holder and the other his son Elvis..



Reply



Reply to Misty D Jackson Winningham...



Marcia Mahony · 1d

Nacole Capps Brewer You can't just make stuff up. ...



Reply



Nacole Capps Brewer · 1d

Marcia Mahony wtf are you talking about making stuff up?? Its called common sense and it happens all the damn time in crime.. Can't make anything up when every day it happens.. That photo is attached to the photo and sketch of Holder..



Reply



Nacole Capps Brewer · 1d

Marcia Mahony I think you need to study and go to some classes for criminal law and shit.. Cause honestly you really sound like you dk anything.. Can't make stuff up in this case.. It's been made up by law enforcement, lack of knowledge, lies and so much more.. Go back from the beginning if you can and follow everything.. What can also help watch tv.. Listen to what the hearing that took place on Monday.. Unconstitutional.. Biase.. How the witnesses story change during court.. But sketches say other wise..



Reply



Reply to Marcia Mahony...



Reply to Nacole Capps Brewer...



Larry Peters · 2d

He will not get a new trial



Reply



10



Marcia Mahony · 2d

Larry Peters I think he did it, but from watching the court of appeals argument, I think 2 of the 3 judges will vote to give him a new trial.

Then it goes to the Indiana Supreme Court.



Reply



Lowell Parson · 2d

Marcia Mahony why would it go to the supreme court



Reply



Marcia Mahony · 1d

Lowell Parson Whoever loses at the court of appeals will appeal to the Indiana Supreme Court.



Reply



Reply to Marcia Mahony...



Darrel Richardson · 2d

Larry Peters, I guess they will have to keep him in population so he doesn't get protected into psychosis. His fellow inmates will serve justice then.



Reply



Brad Begley · 1d

Darrel Richardson it's crazy how all these people want a child killer walking the streets



Reply



Reply to Darrel Richardson...



Rhonda Blair Quintero · 2d

Larry Peters Judging by how appalled Judge Brown was, I bet he will.



Reply



Marcia Mahony · 1d

Rhonda Blair Quintero She's just one vote, but it looked like Judge Vaidik might vote with her.



Reply



Reply to Rhonda Blair Quintero...



Danny Thomas · 1d

Larry Peters want to bet???



Reply



Larry Peters · 1d

Danny Thomas how can they he is psychosis right you can't try some one who is psychosis unless I missed something !



Reply



Brad Begley · 1d

Danny Thomas never gonna get a new trial



Reply



Reply to Danny Thomas...



Reply to Larry Peters...



Dawn Salazar · 2d

100 guilty appeal will be denied ...



Reply



5



Rhonda Blair Quintero · 2d
[Dawn Salazar](#) I bet you're wrong.



Reply



Danny Thomas · 1d
[Dawn Salazar](#) Want to bet???



Reply Edited



Tony Hunt · 1d
[Dawn Salazar](#) Dream on!!!



Reply



Dawn Salazar · 8h
Tony Hunt the state our taxes is paying for him as we speak ! Your going to tell me that he just magically known girls were covered tree limbs .



Reply



Dawn Salazar · 8h
Danny Thomas have you know that he stated he cut the girls with a box so have many dreams . He will be denied !!! All these lawyers are trying to do is make a name for them selves . Judges arent going to buy it at all will be denied here pretty quick ! Surprised it wasnt denied that day and dont hold your breath once denied he is going to tell all at it has been posted . Hes so ready to start talking you say what ???



Reply



Reply to Dawn Salazar...



Lisa Muhs · 2d
Prayers, as he should be freed! He did not commit those heinous crimes.



Reply



Melissa Wilson · 2d
[Lisa Muhs](#) why did they find the man's jacket in his house that did it then please explain



Reply



Dyan Moore Sparks · 1d
[Melissa Wilson](#) and the bullets matched his gun!



Reply



Reply to Melissa Wilson...



Brad Begley · 1d
[Lisa Muhs](#) yes he did



Reply



Reply to Lisa Muhs...





Anne Marie W · 2d

Was there ever a motive?



Reply



Jaime Walton · 2d

Anne Marie W, he's deranged



Reply



Krystal Carmack · 2d

Anne Marie W ya to r*pe those little girls ...the clear motive a disgusting predator would be after that was walking up on children



Reply



Dave Turbin · 1d

Anne Marie W yes he's a pervert



Reply



Brian Devlin · 1d

Dave Turbin and do you have anything at all to back your claim up?



Reply



Dave Turbin · 1d

Brian Devlin Why else would he lead two young women DOWN THE HILL to a secluded spot make them strip do whatever and then kill them.



Reply



Anne Marie W · 1d

Dave Turbin with no prior record, no witness, no statement. Just a confession under duress.



Reply



Brian Devlin · 1d

Dave Turbin nope? Didn't think so you fucking weirdo 🤪



Reply



Dave Turbin · 19h

Brian Devlin your need to get your head out of your ass ad look at the facts and quit believing what you read on the fucking internet



Reply



Brian Devlin · 19h

Dave Turbin so what are the facts you fucking tool? Please tell me or will are you too busy making fake ass Ai profile pictures you fucking lame?



Reply



Brian Devlin · 19h

Dave Turbin so I am assuming you have facts that he is a pervert, if so please share. If not, get fucked you bitch.



Reply



Dave Turbin · 19h

Brian Devlin no sense arguing with a moron ...



Reply



Brian Devlin · 19h

Dave Turbin whats there to argue about ya bitch, just show me these FACTS you claim to have. If not then shut the fuck up and go back to fantasy land with your Ai, dipshit 🤔🤔



Reply

Edited



Reply to Dave Turbin...



Robert Detert · 1d

Anne Marie W Nut job phsyo



Reply



Sheryl M Bearden Dijon · 1d

Anne Marie W none..



Reply

👍🤔 2



Reply to Anne Marie W...



Ronda Hurley · 2d

He might or might not have done it or could have done it but he wasn't given a fair trial and should have gotten one.



Reply

👍 41



Alyssa Bannach · 2d

Ronda Hurley truest words I've ever heard about this trial.



Reply

👍❤️🤔 5



SJ Clifford · 1d

Ronda Hurley he got a fair trial.



Reply

👍🤔 3



Terry Young · 1d

Ronda Hurley bingo!



Reply



William Ernest Fannin · 1d

Ronda Hurley so, you have no official position of value. Got it. You could have done it. Anyone could have done anything, according to your comment. Hmm. Seems like anything else 8n this world, huh.



Reply



Reply to Ronda Hurley...



Joanne Mi · 2d

He didn't do it. I don't know what they did to him but him saying he it then confessing and then going in jail saying yes and no there's something up there with that.



Reply

👍🤔 10



Melissa Wilson · 2d

Joanne Mi why did they find the jacket in his house then please do tell ...



Reply

👍 1



Trisha Smith · 2d

Joanne Mi he was locked in solitary for months until he lost his mind! His confessions were under extreme duress!



Reply



4



Reply to Joanne Mi...



Donna Sue Varner Floyd · 2d

He's innocent



Reply



4



Theresa Tarzwell · 2d



he gets a new trial!!



Reply



4



Eileen TenEyck · 2d

Didn't he say he was in that area, the time the girls were there? Just how many guys were in that area, fitting that description? And didn't they find the jacket of the suspect in his home? I never understood the motives of the killings. I thought there was no sexual abuse evidence?



Reply



3



Rhonda Blair Quintero · 2d

Eileen TenEyck Dozens of people had walked the bridge and surrounding area that day.

Prosecutors said they found a 'similar' blue Carrhardt jacket at his house that had no DNA or blood from the victims on it.

Then later they admitted they could not clearly make out the logo of the jacket worn by the bridge guy in the video to determine if it was a Carrhardt jacket or not.



Reply



7



Karina Balz · 1d

Eileen TenEyck in reports no but there are rumors in the towns surrounding Delphi. I live prob 15 -20 min from there and I've heard multiple things from multiple people who claimed to know the family or be from there.



Reply



Jim Clark · 1d

Eileen TenEyck actually he wasn't the only one there according to the police. The land owner was there also and from what they are saying both of them look alike. They never found any DNA evidence to link him to the crime and with the amount of blood there was he couldn't have possibly gotten rid of all it only a expert in the field could have



Reply



4



Libby Baca · 1d

Jim Clark and if I'm correct didn't the landowner's girlfriend or wife recognize his voice as bridge guy?



Reply



Jim Clark · 1d

Libby Baca which one did she say? I haven't heard that one before but there's a lot I haven't heard



Reply



Carli Della Foresta · 1d

Jim Clark Ron Logan was not at the trial that day. Richard Allen put himself there, at that time, in that exact outfit.



Reply



4



Carli Della Foresta · 1d

Libby Baca She said it sounded like him. But when you listen to BG and the videos his wife had on her Facebook page of him talking, it's a dead ringer.



Reply



3



Gloria Williams · 1d

Jim Clark i think the killer is already dead !



Reply



Jim Clark · 1d

Carli Della Foresta not according to the law enforcement go look it up



Reply



1



Jim Clark · 1d

Gloria Williams have no idea. And I don't know if he's guilty or not



Reply



Carli Della Foresta · 1d

Jim Clark Land owner, Ron Logan, had an alibi. Give it up.



Reply



1



Reply to Jim Clark...



Monica Andry · 1d

Eileen TenEyck He was in the area and on the bridge that day. His car was captured on camera at the time the girls were there. There was no evidence of sexual assault. In one of his confessions, he said he panicked when he saw a van and killed them before he had assaulted them.



Reply



3



John Brazzell · 1d

Eileen TenEyck had he not "self reported" he'd never got on the radar. I've always had mixed feelings about guilty vs not guilty because evidence is all over the place but him self reporting was silliest thing he could have done. Can you imagine the amount of people present that day who didn't self report? Regardless innocent or not he really did not get a fair trial which I blame on the hate the judge had on his attorneys so he should get a new trial but outcome may be the same.



Reply



4



Gloria Williams · 1d

John Brazzell Honesty isn't always the best policy !



Reply



Reply to John Brazzell...



Mary Gravely · 1d

Eileen TenEyck If you were forced to strip at gunpoint, would you not consider that SA in itself? He got spooked by the van and didn't finish what he planned. He said that, and it adds up.



Reply



Anna Fisher · 1h

Mary Gravely this comment wins. He described all by himself how he planned to SA the girls but white can drive by and he spooked. White van guy confirmed that exact time he drove by. THAT was NOT public knowledge until after RA made that statement. Ppl are wild out here defending his guilty ass



Reply



Reply to Mary Gravely...



Danny Thomas · 1d

Eileen TenEyck It didn't fit his description!



Reply



Danny Thomas · 1d

Eileen TenEyck He lived in the area!



Reply



Christy Sharp · 1d

Eileen TenEyck What description? None was presented at trial by State.



Reply



Robert Detert · 1d

Eileen TenEyck Yep.

He still had the coat.

Never even got rid of the coat



Reply



Reply to Eileen TenEyck...



Melissa Wilson · 2d

If they found evidence in his house why shouldn't it be used if he's not guilty then they shouldn't have found any evidence in his house right?



Reply



Jerry Lee · 2d

Melissa Wilson ever heard of planted evidence ...



Reply





Rhonda Blair Quintero · 2d

Melissa Wilson They didn't find any evidence at his house.



Reply



Brittany Shelby · 1d

Rhonda Blair Quintero so the bullet theory?



Reply



Jerry Lee · 1d

Brittany Shelby the bullet hasn't even been fired



Reply



Billy Scales · 1d

Brittany Shelby nobody was shot and nobody saw a gun and nobody saw Rick Allen? I'm not saying guilty or innocent but this is crazy.



Reply



Jerry Lee · 1d

Billy Scales facts there's literally no evidence that points to his guilt



Reply



Shiloh August · 1d

Brittany Shelby the markings didn't match after being racked or when it was fired.



Reply



Mike Sims · 1d

Shiloh August they don't do ballistics on shell casings !



Reply



Brittany Shelby · 1d

oh ok. Thank you for explaining why!



Reply



Jerry Lee · 1d

Mike Sims actually they do



Reply



Shiloh August · 1d

Mike Sims they did at least 6 test on his gun.



Reply



Reply to Rhonda Blair Quintero...



Dan Biddle · 1d

Melissa Wilson ever heard of the constitution?



Reply



Mike Sims · 1d

Melissa Wilson they found nothing in his home



Reply





Shiloh August · 1d

Melissa Wilson nothing in his home or car points to his guilt. I guess not everyone paid attention to this case.



Reply



Aimee Lynn · 1d

Melissa Wilson what evidence was found in his house? NONE.



Reply



John Thomas · 1d

Melissa Wilson right he was found guilty by jury sorry but hes guilty an should have got the death penalty period And if it was any of these people on here talking BS, if it was their daughter it would be a total different story



Reply



Reply to Melissa Wilson...



Josh Acronym · 2d



Reply



Tracy Haynes Mcclish · 1d

He's innocent



Reply



Mark Melcher · 1d

Tracy Haynes Mcclish I agree



Reply



Reply to Tracy Haynes Mcclish...



Mike Norris · 1d

The dude ain't no killer. The eyes never lie.



Reply



Robert Longoria · 1d

Mike Norris What you're dumb as you sound dummy. He's not no killer then why did they find the ballistics bullet match his gun? Same bullet. Same gun then why do they also find his clothes that he was walking on the railroad tracks with with the girls DNA and his DNA and then he admitted to killing them girls 60 times so your eyes never lie and no killer f*** you you're full of s***



Reply



Brad Begley · 1d

Mike Norris the eyes never lie. sounds pretty stupid. glad you aren't a judge
lmao



Reply



Michele Wood · 1d

Mike Norris

Those are the creepiest eyes ever



Reply



Reply to Mike Norris...



Debby Lewis Borrelli · 1d

Innocent



Reply



6



Lorinda Cearing · 1d

Question : #1 The bullet was found in between the bodies ? #2 The bullet was found in his house in a mens collection box ? # 3 The bullet grew legs and walked to both places ?



Reply

Edited



25



Marcia Mahony · 1d

Lorinda Cearing Nope. The rounds found at the scene matched the rounds from his gun at home.



Reply



6



Danny Thomas · 1d

Marcia Mahony It matched thousands of guns!!!



Reply



19



Marcia Mahony · 1d

Danny Thomas Nope. The evidence at trial was that it matched HIS gun. In order to introduce expert evidence, it has to meet the Daubert standard, and defense's evidence did not.



Reply

Edited



3



Jo Schultz · 1d

Marcia Mahony how can an unspent bullet match anyone's gun?



Reply



2



Marcia Mahony · 1d

Jo Schultz Go to the trial transcript. The rounds came from his gun. I'm not a gun expert, and apparently neither are you. The expert, however, testified that it came from his gun. I'm not doing your research for you.



Reply



Angela Murphy · 1d

Marcia Mahony research the trial



Reply



4



Lorinda Cearing · 1d

Jo Schultz Well if you fire through their gun it will match, they put the marks on the bullet which is corruption in my eyes.



Reply



Lorinda Cearing · 1d

Marcia Mahony because they put it in his gun and fired it, you idiot 🙄



Reply

Edited



John Staver · 1d

Marcia Mahony What the forensic examiner testified to is not what her report scientifically concluded. Richard Allen's firearm did not provide sufficient markings to allow for comparison to the bullet recovered at the crime scene. People are easily duped. Some just enjoy the tales of a lying tongue.



Reply



Leslie Kingston · 1d

Extractor/Ejector Marks: The metal hooks that pull the casing out of the chamber and throw it from the gun leave specific scrapes and stamps on the side and rim.



Reply



Lorinda Cearing · 1d

Marcia Mahony They were just bullets that millions of people buy. Of course it matched. Why would someone buy bullets that would not work in their gun ? 🙄



Reply



Lorinda Cearing · 1d

Leslie Kingston I would love to see more proof of that, so lets get the man a fair trial so we can all see the proof instead of blocking camera's, blocking the media, trying to fire peoples lawyers, denying entry of anything the defense had to say or show the court. It was a one sided trial. Unconstitutional plus the torture the man went through while he was suppose to be kept safe.



Reply



Leslie Kingston · 1d

As I recall the police went to the media asking for help. Snap Chat killer, is the original name of Bridge Guy



Reply



Mike Sims · 1d

Lorinda Cearing the complete trial was corrupt!



Reply



Mike Sims · 1d

Marcia Mahony it was only 1 round ...



Reply



Courtney Hayden · 1d

[Marcia Mahony](#) how doe it match if its unspent



Reply



Leslie Kingston · 1d

[Lorinda Cearing](#) after that... if he's found guilty again would you say there is still corruption?



Reply



Megan DeSanto · 1d

[Marcia Mahony](#) Funny, because Melissa Oberg's actual findings were "inconclusive" yet she testified at trial they were a match.

You're claiming her false testimony as fact, when it's actually a violation of the Federal Rules of Evidence (Best/Original) for her to have claimed that on the stand, constituting yet another in a long list of Napue violations Gull overlooked.

It is criminal Prosecutorial Misconduct to allow false testimony into trial.

The deeper you dig into each piece of evidence, the more State error you will find.

Also, she had to fire the round to produce markings even close to that on the unfired bullet.

And, in Richard Allen's confession, he stated he racked his gun at the top of the hill, which would not explain how it ended up where the girls were found, between them, lodged in the dirt.



Reply

Edited



Megan DeSanto · 1d

[Lorinda Cearing](#) And Judge Gull refused to order the preservation of evidence. It's going to be hard to retry him if half of it's gone come that second trial.



Reply



Sandy Battles · 1d

[Marcia Mahony](#) which match the one police man in question. The judge in the appeals hearing said its a common size



Reply



Sandy Battles · 1d

[Jo Schultz](#) exactly



Reply



Sandy Battles · 1d

[Marcia Mahony](#) thats not correct. An unspent bullet has no markings of a gun profile.



Reply



Shannon Van Zee · 1d

Marcia Mahony false. They could not get the unspent round at the scene to match an unspent bullet from his gun. So after shooting this that and the other multiple times there were similar characteristics.



Reply



Shannon Van Zee · 13h

Marcia Mahony no ma'am. There are LOTS of YouTubers that have been very involved with this case and the people of delphi. Fran Gull fought for the right to have cameras in the courtroom for transparency. But for this case she banned them...suspish. Due to that bs the channel **Criminality True Crime** made several episodes of reading the court transcripts. If you want to know exactly what was said, including homie googling a question and coming back to the stand and testifying to what an iPhone chat group said regarding the phone having a record of someone putting headphones or something into the jack and taking it out HOURS after the girls were murdered.



Reply



Reply to Marcia Mahony...



Marcia Mahony · 1d

Lorinda Cearing The evidence at trial was that it matched because the rounds had been in HIS gun. Not any similar gun. HIS gun.



Reply



6



Steve Gilmore · 1d

Marcia Mahony And the Gull wouldn't allow a FBI expert testify for the defense concerning the bullet.



Reply



4



Mary Beth Yemma · 1d

Marcia Mahony you can't compare an unspent round with a fired round. That is how the forensic person "tried to make them match" she tried every way she could but finally fired it and tried to make it look like a match. Two different things. Junk science. Doesn't work that way!



Reply

Edited



5



Reply to Marcia Mahony...



Marcia Mahony · 1d

Sigh. He wasn't tortured. His lawyers put on a vigorous defense, introduced lots of evidence. Just not what was inadmissible.



Reply



5



Shiloh August · 1d

Marcia Mahony Let me involuntarily drug you after I throw you in solitary for 13 months. I guess you were a guard there? Only way you would know that or not



Reply



1



Sandy Battles · 1d

Shiloh August Marcia Mahony is obviously delusional! Lol



Reply



Shannon Van Zee · 1d

Marcia Mahony if it wasn't horrific, then why was the sound removed?



Reply



4



King Ron · 18h

Marcia Mahony plz don't downplay the torture. Trust and believe, it most definitely was torture beyond your worst nightmare! I promise you! I pray nothing like this ever happens to you or yours. Even the very strongest minds will shatter under the type of duress he endured. This is not a game. The real criminals are free. Let's not forget that part.



Reply



1



Marcia Mahony · 18h

King Ron He did it.



Reply



2



Lorinda Cearing · 17h

Marcia Mahony If you do not believe he was tortured in Westville you seriously are blind. Maybe you can be next on the list.



Reply



2



Marcia Mahony · 16h

Lorinda Cearing Hahaha! Say more stupid stuff!



Reply



1



Melissa Southern · 16h

Lorinda Cearing THIS!! Westville is one of the most ran down & dangerous prisons in Indiana!



Reply



1



Susan Burrows · 16h

Marcia Mahony Have you ever been inside a prison? I have as staff and visitor. There is a lot more than torture that goes on in a prison!



Reply



1



Marcia Mahony · 15h

Susan Burrows He was in jail, not prison. And yes, it's bad. And, he killed the girls. ...



Reply



Lorinda Cearing · 14h

Melissa Southern Exactly why it was chosen imo.



Reply



1



Lorinda Cearing · 14h

Marcia Mahony I would bet a million you didn't even read one transcript from the court. Just like many others.



Reply



Leslie Kingston · 14h

Marcia Mahony ugh, the circus has begun again. A bunch of idiots who have not read any of the evidence.



Reply



King Ron · 7h

Marcia Mahony so you were there?



Reply



King Ron · 7h

Melissa Southern and segregation is a dungeon of the worst kind. If you caged an animal in there you would be sent to prison.



Reply



King Ron · 7h

Marcia Mahony you sound like a ro bot.



Reply



Reply to Marcia Mahony...



Steve Gilmore · 1d

Concerning this mysterious bullet. An unspent 'supposedly' cycled bullet laying there. Why? The girls were killed with something sharp. Why wouldn't Gull allow a FBI expert testify about the bullet for the defense.



Reply



Steve Gilmore · 1d

Terry Young what was it



Reply



Jessica Dawn · 13h

Steve Gilmore it wasn't a gun, at all.



Reply



Steve Gilmore · 12h

Jessica Dawn I know that



Reply



Reply to Steve Gilmore...



Terry Young · 1d

Lorinda Cearing NO damn bullet! cartridge !



Reply



Mary Nell Riley · 1d

Terry Young they weren't shot were they???



Reply



Mike Sims · 1d

Mary Nell Riley no



Reply



Leslie Kingston · 1d

Terry Young Racking twice does not harm the gun. It's won't cause the weapon to fire or cause a jam.

Example. You rack the gun , a round is chambered.

You rack it a second time, the chambered round is ejected and a new round goes into the chamber.

You can empty a whole magazine just by racking the slide over and over and ejecting 1 bullet at a time.

This happens because the ejector catches the lip of the round as soon as its seated in the chamber. When you pull the slide back to rack again, the ejector ejects the round from the chamber clearing the chamber for the next round.



Reply



Leslie Kingston · 1d

Mary Nell Riley he racked the gun the first time when he ordered the girls "down the hill" after they went down the hill he ordered them to undress and he saw a white van drive pass. That startled Richard Allen and he ordered the girls across the creek. Once they were on Ron Logan's property that is where he attacked and brutally murdered them with a box cutter. He rack his gun a second time causing the bullet to eject from the firearm.

Racking twice does not harm the gun. It's won't cause the weapon to fire or cause a jam.

Example. You rack the gun , a round is chambered.

You rack it a second time, the chambered round is ejected and a new round goes into the chamber.

You can empty a whole magazine just by racking the slide over and over and ejecting 1 bullet at a time.

This happens because the ejector catches the lip of the round as soon as its seated in the chamber. When you pull the slide back to rack again, the ejector ejects the round from the chamber clearing the chamber for the next round.



Reply

Edited



Terry Young · 1d

Leslie Kingston and NO ballistics! duh?



Reply



Terry Young · 1d

Leslie Kingston I am very familiar with handguns. You think I'm stupid? You sure are.



Reply



Leslie Kingston · 1d

Terry Young yes, maybe I do think you're stupid. Only a stupid ignorant person would make a comment like "NO damn bullet! cartridge!" That is completely unacceptable improper example of the English language. Secondly, there was evidence presented to the court that supports the spent cartridge laying next to their bodies. Not to mention listening to the video itself. Terry Young go back to elementary school.



Reply



Terry Young · 1d

Leslie Kingston it wasn't a "spent" cartridge dumbass! To be spent it has to be fired! Didn't comprehend that? You ARE dumber by the minute aren't you. Don't attempt to correct ME! And as for YOU, JUST GO AWAY! I'm done conversing with dummies for the day.



Reply



Lorinda Cearing · 18h

Leslie Kingston There is no evidence proving RA racked a gun. It is all psychic mind hearsay. Assumptions !! All we hear is voices with no face. 🙄



Reply



Reply to Terry Young...



Mike Sims · 1d

Lorinda Cearing you can't do ballistics on a casing , it was a un fired round



Reply



Mary Nell Riley · 1d

Mike Sims an unfired bullet that could have been in anyone's possession.



Reply



Mike Sims · 1d

Mary Nell Riley so many people that don't know much about his first trial. Can't believe the biased local news coverage



Reply



Frank Meister · 1d

Mary Nell Riley lmao.. the pic. The bullet was photographed bagged and tagged and dated and there's a picture of it to the day that they found it which was on 14 February.



Reply



Lorinda Cearing · 18h

Mike Sims Don't forget the media blackouts 🙄 just unreal



Reply



Leslie Kingston · 17h

Frank Meister thank you for following and sharing your experience with this evidence



Reply

...



Reply to Mike Sims...



Brooke Gunderson · 1d

Lorinda Cearing no gun was used



Reply



Melissa Southern · 1d

Lorinda Cearing ORRR... the UNSPENT BULLET WAS PLANTED THERE AFTER THEY SEARCHED RA's home.... N that was just part of the corruption of placing something there when it wasn't there in the beginning!! All to get a conviction!!

It's been a shit show of prosecution... which is about right for CARROLL COUNTY!!

They had 5 YEARS TO BUILD A CASE & pretty much have nothing & blocked all actual evidence from being submitted in court!!

JUSTICE FOR LIBBY & ABBY!!



Reply



Susan Burrows · 17h

Melissa Southern No documentation or chain of command in real time on that bullet! Science has already disproved the theory of matching an unspent round to a specific gun! I believe that bullet was planted after the unconstitutional search of his home! Bring Justice back to the legal system in Carroll County! 📢



Reply



Melissa Southern · 16h

Susan Burrows AGREED!! 🙌



Reply



Claire Ceci · 9h

I do have a question...how many cases in our history have actually had corrupt judges? Cause it just doesn't make sense to me that Judge Gull would NOT let the defense team present their evidence. And also why did she try to take Richard Allen's attorney's off the case? These are important questions that really should be answered via an appeal as well, unless "someone" is trying to hide something or "cover up" something.



Reply



Reply to Melissa Southern...



Classic Over Thinker · 1d

Lorinda Cearing that's why it's called the 'magic bullet', it's embarrassing that people believe the bullet rubbish . Plus it was always reported that the bullet was found days later and it was presumed LE dropped it. Either way it doesn't matter ... because Ricks gun wasn't a match. On the other hand Ron Logan and Brad Webers firearms could NOT be excluded. But hey as the sketch artist said 'we can cheat' 😞. Corrupt MOFO's



Reply



Michele Wood · 1d

Lorinda Cearing

That's not what happened at all.



Reply



3



Shannon Van Zee · 18h

Michele Wood do explain...



Reply



Melissa Southern · 16h

Michele Wood Your ridiculous if you can't find any doubt in this prosecution!!

The court could convict you for this charge as well if they only showed evidence to make you LOOK GUILTY! Many people in the USA are charged & convicted of crimes they did not commit a years later released due to being innocent!

LETS FIND THE REAL KILLER AND GIVE JUSTICE TO ABBY & LIBBY!!

CONVICTED AN INNOCENT MAN IS NOT JUSTICE!!

The good ole boys of Carroll County & PRINCESS GULL are a disgrace to the LEGAL SYSTEM!

WAKE UP... YOU COULD BE NEXT!!



Reply



Michele Wood · 16h

Melissa Southern

You aren't the jury thankfully. He did it. He's convicted. Live with it and move on.



Reply



Michele Wood · 16h

Shannon Van Zee

They found an unfired bullet under the girls and matched it to his gun from the markings. Then he also had matching rounds at his home.



Reply



Michele Wood · 16h

Melissa Southern

I would not be convicted because I'm not in a park alone with a gun preying on kids.



Reply



Melissa Southern · 14h

Michele Wood THE BULLET WAS PLACED THERE! It was not there when they found the girls! WITH ALL DUE RESPECT YOU NEED TO REVIEW THE CASE MA'AM!

A specialist said the "matching markings" aren't validated!!



Reply



Melissa Southern · 14h

Michele Wood Obviously YOU MISSED MY POINT! YOU COULD BE CHARGED WITH ANY CRIME IF THEY ONLY PRESENTED EVIDENCE TO MAKE YOU LOOK GUILTY WHETHER ITS FACTUAL EVIDENCE OR NOT! Period!!!



Reply



Melissa Southern · 14h

Michele Wood oh an he never had his gun on him at the scene! READ UP LADY! YOU SEEM CORRUPT RIGHT ALONG WITH THEM!



Reply



King Ron · 7h

Melissa Southern you might be explaining to an artificial entity. This page is riddled with Ai hecklers.



Reply



Kathy Hicks · 1h

Melissa Southern of all the evidence presented- the bullet, his lies, his confessions, the video, the sightings- what makes it someone else's crime (that there's no evidence of) than it NOT be his?



Reply



Reply to Michele Wood...



Nana Foster · 1d

Lorinda Cearing the bullet in the keepsake box was a 'trophy' bullet pulled from his magazine that he didn't rack-eject onto the ground; not the same bullet found at the scene. A memento to remember his murderous experience



Reply



Lorinda Cearing · 18h

Nana Foster That bullet was NOT a trophy. You have no evidence of that. My husband had the same kind of box. With all sorts of small items in it. So he would not loose them. Watch, ring, pocket knife, change, business cards, etc... He put all kinds of stuff in there 😏 Are you a psychic ?



Reply

Edited



Frank Meister · 17h

Lorinda Cearing Do you realize how ignorant you sound



Reply



Nana Foster · 13h

Lorinda Cearing if you don't believe me, ask Mia- an actual juror- what a reasonable person would assume/infer after sitting in court everyday hearing and seeing the totality of all the evidence



Reply





Lorinda Cearing · 11h

Nana Foster That is another one of the main issues 🤔 the jurors NOT seeing evidence. No transparency . Duh 🤔 that will be brought up in the Supreme Court if he is granted a new trial.



Reply



Nana Foster · 9h

Lorinda Cearing WHAT EVIDENCE didn't the jury see? What magical evidence are you referring to?



Reply



1



Reply to Nana Foster...



Leslie Kingston · 17h

Lorinda Cearing you really don't know this case whatsoever. So please stop commenting until you do your research. The evidence is there. All you have to do is read the transcript.



Reply




1



Leslie Kingston · 11h

Lorinda Cearing



Reply



Jason Haynes · 7h

Lorinda Cearing the bullet found at the scene had been cycled, meaning, it was landed into the chamber and ejected without being fired. This happens when you "rack the gun", and is typically done when you want to ensure there is no round in the chamber when storing, or when a round becomes jammed. But in this case, it is speculated that he "racked" the gun to instill fear in the victims into following his orders.



Reply



Reply to Lorinda Cearing...



Scott Elliott · 1d

Fall guy not bridge guy ...



Reply



17



Tony Hunt · 1d

Scott Elliott No doubt !!!



Reply



Sheryl M Bearden Dijon · 1d

Scott Elliott exactly



Reply



Reply to Scott Elliott...



Jennifer Halsmer · 1d

Why did he say he did it if he didn't do it?



Reply



Amanda Moy · 1d

Jennifer Halsmer



Reply



Marcia Mahony · 1d

Jennifer Halsmer Why do any criminals say they don't do it? They don't want to go to jail.



Reply



Robin Welcher Thomlison · 1d

Jennifer Halsmer

He also "confessed" to killing his grandkids....he had none



Reply



Sandi Smith · 1d

Jennifer Halsmer right? I'd never admit to a crime so horrible as this if I was innocent ..no matter who or what interrogated me id never take blame for someone else's cruel activities such as this and look at life in prison...hes either guilty as hell or stupid as \$\$\$\$...



Reply



Marshall Peaslee · 1d

Jennifer Halsmer let me tell you something, if you're arrested them cops will manipulate you or hold you Ransom until you admit to what they wanna here. Guilty or not, If you don't admit you're only like 25% of the population that wouldn't tell the police what they wanted to hear just to try on go home. Can't imagine sitting in a solitary confinement 4x7 cell, the only people you talk to on a daily are telling you you did something and if you admit it we'll let you call home or we'll let you havr this or do this and in his mental State of Mind come on guys think about it. All you people saying I would never admit to nothing ? Yeah ok, you definitely don't know that until you're in that type of situation. how can you say that. The justice system has let thousands of people across America a year that's been incarcerated for one year all the way up to 50 years for admitting to something they did not do. They eventually get exonerated. Not all but some.



Reply

Edited





Libby Baca · 1d

Marshall Peaslee EXACTLY!!! And this place they held him that is terrible I have a loved one there and I wouldn't want my worst enemy there



Reply



Brian Dusenbery · 20h

Marshall Peaslee some of you are just total clowns. RA never said anything close to what you just said. His attorneys lied about a few items and it was later proven not true about his conditions.



Reply

Edited



Marshall Peaslee · 19h

Brian Dusenbery so you were there holding his hand you're the clown I never said that's exactly what he said goofball



Reply



Marshall Peaslee · 19h

Brian Dusenbery that's just what the news reported while he was incarcerated. There's multiple reports of his psychiatrist coercing him and offering gifts for admitting his guilt he had multiple reports of inmates threatening his life if he didn't admit to what he did. Even the guards so who's the clown fool everybody in this group has got their own opinion do you hear anybody else calling people clowns or names until you started you're lucky you're not in person having this debate because if you called me a clown to my face you'd be the one with the red nose



Reply



Marshall Peaslee · 19h

Brian Dusenbery and let me guess you don't even live in the state of Indiana anywhere near where this happened and and you don't even have an ounce of what actually is being said and going on around here but what you read on Facebook or the news correct



Reply



Reply to Marshall Peaslee...



Mike Sims · 1d

Jennifer Halsmer they are covering it up , he was framed



Reply



William Ernest Fannin · 1d

Jennifer Halsmer ask Google. Or read the news.



Reply



Anne Leighty · 1d

Jennifer Halsmer good question!



Reply



Larry McCullough · 21h

Jennifer Halsmer easy. The police coerced his admission by sleep deprivation as well as food and water being denied to him. Not to mention the lying cops and prosecution team. Cant forget that small town cops lack resources and is usually incompetent to handle high profile cases like this. Its common



Reply



Karen Olney Ashton · 12h

Jennifer Halsmer happens a lot when they interrogate for so many hours with no rest. He said he shot them! Big problem! They were not shot!
I think he is innocent



Reply



Reply to Jennifer Halsmer...



DixAnn Campbell · 1d

I think he did it but maybe not alone. There is evidence in the trial that points to him.



Reply



Mia McHaffey · 1d

As a juror on this trial, I did not take into account any of the confessions or any of the ballistics. I don't think the disclosure of those things made a difference ultimately in the decision.



Reply



Michelle Filoni Maleta · 1d

Mia McHaffey you were on this jury



Reply



Mia McHaffey · 1d

Michelle Filoni Maleta yes ma'am



Reply



Michelle Filoni Maleta · 1d

Mia i personally think you guys got it right



Reply



Brad Begley · 1d

Mia McHaffey I think you guys did a great job. Don't listen to all these losers thinking you got it wrong. There all gonna support child molesting and child killers no matter what



Reply



Caitlin Marie Daugherty · 1d

Brad Begley Zero evidence RA had any interest in children/csam, thanks - theyd have used it if they found it, trust.



Reply



Francis Gibson · 1d

Caitlin Marie Daugherty didn't Allen state he thought they were older until ...
he had them naked? yeah thought so



Reply





Caitlin Marie Daugherty · 19h

Francis Gibson No, he didn't and what's that have to do with him having zero evidence of child anything anywhere?



Reply



Francis Gibson · 18h

Caitlin Marie Daugherty yes he did he stated he thought they were older until he had them undressed and he clearly had burner phones as he threw his under a lawnmower read Kathy's statement



Reply



Caitlin Marie Daugherty · 18h

Francis Gibson Lol you have an interesting way of twisting facts to fit your narrative. The guy I'm replying to called him a pedo, I'm responding by telling him there's zero csam or anything like that - so you pull out some random "confession," he said while out of his mind that you just heard the Appellate Judges shock and dismay over and know those, if anything, are what's most likely to be thrown out but sure 🤔🤔🤔



Reply



Francis Gibson · 18h

Caitlin Marie Daugherty he wasn't out of his mind when he spoke to Wala he was upset because Kathy wouldn't believe him, so Wala let him call Kathy and speak to her and he confessed in detail about the crime. go have a read of the transcripts and you tagged me not the other person and he is a self proclaimed paedophile



Reply



Caitlin Marie Daugherty · 18h

Francis Gibson Oh are we doing a guess and assume? Cool



Reply



Francis Gibson · 18h

Caitlin Marie Daugherty no that's what the defense babies are doing



Reply



Reply to Michelle Filoni Maleta...



Janet Embree · 1d

Mia McHaffey I think he did it but more people involved



Reply



Susan Winegardner Perry · 1d

Janet Embree Exactly !!



Reply





Brenda K. Simonton Kopka · 1d

Janet Embree and it's okay to think, or to have a personal thought.. but not when you're sworn in to protect the Constitutional rights of a human being. they gave clear instructions that if there's reasonable doubt that is doubt. (it is better to let 100 guilty men go free then too in prison one innocent man) I believe that quote came from the USA Supreme Court.



Reply



Michele Wood · 1d

Brenda K. Simonton Kopka

Clearly they didn't have any doubt. You don't get to decide that. If you're ever on a jury you can. Hopefully you aren't.



Reply



Robert · 1d

Janet Embree That's not true. If it were true, Rick would have snatched them all out by now. I do think multiple people were involved, just not Rick.



Reply



Tricia Childers · 23h

Brenda K. Simonton Kopka so you believe someone who killed to girls should be let go



Reply



Shawn Palmi · 22h

Janet Embree It's very unlikely he would confess without naming others, unless you think his help was his direct family members. There also isn't any evidence others were involved. We only see one guy in the video, only hear one voice, only one man was seen leaving the scene.



Reply



Bill Evans · 18h

Tricia Childers YES, if he wasn't given due process (and EVIDENTLY he wasn't!) he should get a new trial. ISP and many others botched handling both the evidence and the protracted investigation trying to piece together a flimsy, incomplete case. Meanwhile, a clearly psychotic man was held, without a conviction, in a prison in solitary confinement for an inordinate amount of time in an facility where he had compromised care! A dog awaiting euthanasia would have been treated better



Reply



Janet Embree · 17h

Michele Wood I have sat on a jury 2 or 3 times in my life and it isn't something I just love doing , my comment above was merely an opinion from reading things from beginning. I have been to Delphi a few times. I hope justice prevails .i appreciate your comments



Reply

...



Jessica Dawn · 13h

Shawn Palmi one of the guards was an odinist and most likely played a huge part in that.



Reply



Reply to Janet Embree...



Tony Hunt · 1d

Mia McHaffey What did you do guess? Did you ever wonder if the girls were actually dropped off in the first place?



Reply



18



Mia McHaffey · 1d

Tony Hunt this is an insane take lol what evidence at all supports this. How would you explain the video of the girls on the bridge?



Reply



12



Terry Young · 1d

Tony Hunt coin toss maybe?



Reply



1



Cheryl Reber · 1d

Tony Hunt the victim got a video of this guy just as he was approaching them..its him..he did it!!!



Reply



12



Karen Rayburn Edwards · 1d

Tony Hunt are you confused? They took pictures and Snapchats of being on the bridge!



Reply



4



Tony Hunt · 1d

Mia McHaffey Photo shopped, just like BG. You can do anything no days.



Reply



11



Tony Hunt · 1d

Cheryl Reber Would you bet your life on it? Nope!



Reply



3



Deb Bendon · 1d

Tony Hunt thank you for being on the jury! Justice was served!



Reply



8



Mia McHaffey · 1d

Tony Hunt who photoshopped it? The police? Also, the video was captured almost 10 years ago.



Reply



4



Tony Hunt · 1d

Mia McHaffey Who ever murdered them 🤔 You just cant automatically assume those pictures, recording "down the hill" and videos are legit. Not one person can put those girls there "physically" that day, not even Richard !!!



Reply



Tony Hunt · 1d

Cheryl Reber Yea, sure 🤔🤔🤔



Reply



Brandy Ellis · 1d

Cheryl Reber RA is not the guy in the video



Reply



Shiloh August · 1d

Cheryl Reber did you see his face on the video? Just like they said the girls were so scared and they didn't know where to go. Yet thet weren't scared and Libby knew exactly where to go.



Reply



Shiloh August · 1d

Deb Bendon was it? So we aren't allowed to have a fair trial in this country now? I hope people like you end up in a situation like Richard Allen. Meaning you're in solitary for 13 long months and involuntarily drugged.



Reply



Mike Sims · 1d

Tony Hunt I heard all the discovery evidence, many people that should have been investigated never talked to the investigators. One went as far to say that he wasn't worried he had people that would not let him get in any trouble! 🤔



Reply



Danielle Hawkins · 1d

Mia McHaffey so, what evidence shown made your decision?



Reply



Mary Beth Yemma · 1d

Cheryl Reber no the BG man on bridge was 5'10 to 6'0 tall Richard Allen was 5'5 max. He was not BG. He was however railroaded. Corrupt trial from Judge all the way on down. Most people can see this.



Reply



Sandra Prince Cole · 1d

Cheryl Reber yes!!!



Reply



Brenda K. Simonton Kopka · 1d

Mia McHaffey that is easy to do!.. as a juror, the rules are clear.. reasonable Doubt means there's doubt and you don't convict. I would love to know what, if what you stated was not considered or relevant, what was?? just statements made by law enforcement?



Reply



Brenda K. Simonton Kopka · 1d

Cheryl Reber OMG goodness! that is the most bizarre thing I've heard in a long time! just because someone happens to capture you on video you are guilty of a crime??.. the video does not even prove that the guy on the bridge did or attempted to commit a crime! it WAS shown to the jury.. What crime did you see occur??.. good grief! one of the victims own mothers said that the words the man allegedly said, just sounded like a normal conversation to her! 🙄.. and are you aware, did you pay attention when LE said that they interpolated the video???

interpolated= Inserting into, Adding words, remarks, or passages into an existing book, document, or conversation/ Altering a work: Inserting unauthorized, extraneous, or false material into a historical manuscript or text... 🙄



Reply

Edited



Brenda K. Simonton Kopka · 1d

Cheryl Reber let me know if you would like to know exactly how many other people were out there that day, along with many many men!!.. js.. there's a lot of people that have done a LOT of research, and it's really heart-shattering to think that an innocent man has been horrendously tortured like he has. 💔 And WHY.. 🙄 NTM.. many many Hoosiers are outraged learning the facts because this ruling can set a precedent for any single person arrested!.. example you don't know that your driver's license was suspended and you get pulled over and for some reason they take you to jail and they don't like you, or they want to silence you.. so they ship you off to maximum security prison isolation for 13 months, well torturing the hell out of you, and involuntarily drugging you & break you by telling you if you would confess, it will all stop! maybe not you, but maybe your son, your husband, your father, your brother, your friend.. who's next??



Reply



Brenda K. Simonton Kopka · 1d

I apologize, I don't mean to be speaking sharply towards you, it's just upsetting when people know most of the facts and the facts do not support the conviction! did you hear the appellate judges on monday? "do you believe he was treated the same as other inmates there or better?".... (attny) yes, it was for his protection... "have you seen the videos?"... "you protected him INTO PSYCHOSIS" 🙄



Reply



 Michele Wood · 1d

Tony Hunt

Their bodies were found there. That was Libby's video. What is wrong with you?

  Reply  3

 Tony Hunt · 1d

Michele Wood Eat me!!! You'll see sheeple...

  Reply

 Brooke Walters-Schmidt · 1d

Cheryl Reber - There is zero proof that the guy on the bridge did anything.



  Reply   2

 Kathy Abrell · 1d

Tony Hunt since Mia was on the jury she does not have to tell you anything about how they (the jury) came to their decision. Maybe the next time you get a letter to be on a jury, you do it !

  Reply

 Thomas Frost · 1d

Tony Hunt yall are CRACKED out 🧠🧠

  Reply  4

 Thomas Frost · 1d

Brooke Walters-Schmidt is it hard getting out of bed being this stupid?

  Reply  1

 Francis Gibson · 1d

Thomas Frost 🤔



  Reply  2

 Ashley Marie · 1d

Tony Hunt their Instagram put them there.

  Reply  1

 Tony Hunt · 1d

Kathy Abrell I didn't ask her to tell me anything, with an IQ level she has and the rest of that paid off jury Im not even interested in hearing more lies from that coerced sheeple jury!!! I've had enough with all the lies! (party's over)

  Reply



Tony Hunt · 1d

Ashley Marie You mean it put somebody else out there, role playing the victims and that's not even Abby either 😬



Reply



Christine Danger · 1d

Tony Hunt RA is BG.



Reply



Tony Hunt · 1d

Christine Danger He was there but that's not him, That's been proven photoshopped just like the so called Abby photo!



Reply



Tony Hunt · 1d

Simple minds in Indiana



Reply



Tony Hunt · 1d

Karen Rayburn Edwards Where's the rest of pics/videos? Because I'm sure there would be more than just BG, and Abby that would help prove they were really there? 🤔🤔🤔



Reply



Thomas Frost · 1d

Tony Hunt buddy, you are saying some of the dumbest and most unhinged shit I have ever heard



Reply



Tony Hunt · 1d

Thomas Frost You have no idea what you're spewing out of your Hoosier mouth, I do!!!



Reply



Thomas Frost · 1d

Tony Hunt lol, okay. "Simple minded" I have two degrees, one in criminal justice and the other in business management from IU. I think I might know what I'm saying 🤔



Reply

Edited



Shawn Palmi · 22h

Tony Hunt Are you just trolling or are you really this stupid?



Reply



Brian Dusenbery · 21h

Mary Beth Yemma this has been covered 100's of times. Were have you been? Do better.



Reply



Brian Dusenbery · 21h

Brenda K. Simonton Kopka Rick Snay is this you?



Reply



Brian Dusenbery · 21h

Tony Hunt bless your heart! Read up on the case, review the documents then come back and comment.



Reply

Edited



Tony Hunt · 20h

Brian Dusenbery I don't have to read anything, Im not a sheep like you and the Hoosier state!!!



Reply



Tony Hunt · 20h

Shawn Palmi Likewise, back at you and you will see.



Reply



Leslie Stephens Geller · 20h

Tony Hunt , your lack of intelligence and basic comprehensive skills are showing 🙄.



Reply



Tony Hunt · 19h

Leslie Stephens Geller You from Indiana too? 🙄🙄🙄



Reply



Reply to Tony Hunt...



Brandy Ellis · 1d

Mia McHaffey one question! Do you believe Lindsay Clancy is guilty?



Reply



Mia McHaffey · 1d

Brandy Ellis what's the relevance? The only thing your "one question" insinuates is that you overlook differences and details that completely change the circumstances to fit your narrative.



Reply



Brandy Ellis · 1d

Mia McHaffey no because people like you are not looking at facts! What facts did you base your guilty verdict on?



Reply



Mia McHaffey · 1d

Brandy Ellis what is the relevance? Your "one question" tells me more about your inability to decipher differences in circumstance (possible voluntary inability) to fit your narrative. Even based off the fact that I was in the courtroom every single day for this trial, and was not for Lindsey Clancy. My opinion on her guilt is a moot point.



Reply



Brandy Ellis · 1d

Mia McHaffey no it's not because it will tell the rest of us if you actually base things on facts, opinions or hearsay. What evidence proves that RA did this with zero doubt?



Reply



Mia McHaffey · 1d

Brandy Ellis Richard Allen told us he was there that day and what time. He mentioned seeing a group of girls. That group of girls also saw Richard Allen. He was there, they saw each other, that is fact. Then, he said he was on the bridge looking at the fish, but didn't see the girls. There is no way that if he was on the bridge at the time he was there he didn't see the girls. He also said he was wearing a blue jacket, jeans, boots or tennis shoes, and had a hat. In one of his confessions, he mentioned a white van driving down and frightening him during the crime. After investigation, a white van was actually there near the scene at the time of the crime. No one would know that but the killer. No other man with similar clothing or anything was seen by anyone else there that day. The bullet found in his keepsake box had the same exact bullet brand and type. Even though all of those facts wouldn't stand on their own, the presence of all of them makes it unreasonable to believe Richard Allen isn't guilty of the crime.



Reply



Brandy Ellis · 1d

Mia McHaffey we ALL knew about the van before. And everything you described he said he was also told. So no those are not facts. That's still a person that was mentally tortured by LE. Did you watch the interrogation videos? So you're admitting there are NO FACTS! A bullet can't be tested like that.



Reply



Tony Hunt · 1d

Brandy Ellis That damn Bullet was took from his residence illegally and cycled through his gun, JS.



Reply



Brandy Ellis · 1d

Tony Hunt everything they did to him was illegal.



Reply





Mia McHaffey · 1d

Brandy Ellis as expected, you're manipulating things to fit your narrative. If you can show me proof that Richard Allen or anyone else at that matter, was aware of the van before he made that specific confession to Dr. Wala, I'll acknowledge that. I can prove everything I am saying with true, factual information from the record. Please elaborate how you arrive to me admitting there are no facts? How did you come to that conclusion? That interrogation wasn't close to mental torture lol



Reply



3



Brandy Ellis · 1d

Mia McHaffey because you still haven't provided any Facts that RA unalived the girls. There is none!!! You're doing what people in the Clancy trial is doing. You're following and repeating what everyone else is saying instead of FACTS



Reply



1



April Vasquez · 1d

Mia McHaffey how did Vorhees see him at 2:15; video started at 2:13. There were other groups of girls out there.



Reply



Nana Foster · 1d

Brandy Ellis his voice, his likeness, his clothing in Libby's video. Get real. These pics were pulled from Kathy's fb page before trial started and before she locked her page. Exact same jacket. Exact same hat. The facts were all provided; you just refuse to accept them.



Reply

Edited



2



Nana Foster · 1d ...



Reply



Brandy Ellis · 1d

Nana Foster this is the judge that retired from there.



Reply



Nana Foster · 1d

Brandy Ellis your mom did it



Reply



1



Claire Ceci · 1d

I wonder if Mia (who says she was on the jury) noticed that Richard Allen is short. He is obviously NOT Bridge Guy. Justice has not been served. And I have to wonder how many people are involved in lying about this. While the real killer or killers are still out there. It's sick. It really is. I have weeped for humanity. I pray someone with real integrity does the right thing and I hope it's soon. Cause right now it's very wrong and it's evil what has happened.



Reply



2



Joleen Watson Haskell · 17h

Mia McHaffey I don't think the previous poster was saying he was tortured in the interrogation— I think he was saying the interrogation videos showed someone clear minded and very adamant about their innocence, and then his being kept in solitary confinement for 13 months as a pretrial detainee was equivalent to torture. And that showed up as a totally different person — a completely different mindset and demeanor than the interrogation videos.



Reply



Reply to Brandy Ellis...



Misty Porter · 1d

Mia McHaffey What do you think about all the information that the jury wasn't allowed to see?



Reply



1



Mia McHaffey · 1d

Misty Porter my take is that (the sketches and Odiniist theory) specifically wouldn't have changed the outcome. I think they were rightfully not included in trial. BUT - I welcome another trial if it's deemed most constitutional. I don't think my opinion really matters as far as predicted outcome, but I do think another trial would silence a lot of the public opinion surrounding the process.



Reply



Misty Porter · 1d

Mia McHaffey What about the drug angle? Would you like to hear more about that in a new trial?



Reply



Mia McHaffey · 1d

Misty Porter I haven't heard about the drug angle.



Reply



Misty Porter · 1d

Mia McHaffey That's the one I'm most interested in. It's definitely going to get interesting.



Reply



Danielle Hawkins · 1d

Mia McHaffey Are you not the least bit angry at LE for leading the jury to believe Rick was the only one out on the bridge that day? Now, we know there were at least 50 people and the jury was only given one option? Others have confessed and not one witness looked at Rick in court that day and said, Yes!, that's the man I saw! Respectfully asking because I would want all facts before convicting and ruining a man's life based on a lie and lil manbaby egos. I'm sorry, I know this wasn't easy for anyone on the jury, but he 100% did not do this.



Reply



Mia McHaffey · 1d

Danielle Hawkins I appreciate your compassion. You are right, it was very hard. But that is how a murder trial goes, they charge a person and the jury has to decide if they think that person is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. It was not my job to police their investigation, rather make a decision based off of the facts provided to me. I don't think we were led to believe he was the only one. Just that he was the only one there that all of the puzzle pieces fit.



Reply





Danielle Hawkins · 1d

Mia McHaffey I understand you were not there to investigate. From the outside looking in, we could see everything you were not allowed to see so now, what do you think? If you feel comfortable saying? Do you think he got a fair trial? And thank you for speaking and answering hard questions.



Reply

Edited



1



Leslie Walker · 1d

Mia McHaffey A dad who trafficked drugs, who snitched on other drug traffickers and possibly owed them money who never came out to fight for justice for his daughter. They sent him a message. Message received. They didn't present this. They covered it up. Why? Because LE and others in Indiana higher ups are likely involved in underground activity. LE sought to hide evidence as to who was out there from day one. There were about 16 people out there that day. RA saw a group of girls when he was out there earlier. He wasn't there during the murder time the state gives. Those girls are Collier, Matta and Herrera. Dan Pearson was likely who BB saw on the bridge. Guess what? He's the first one who ran to the police. He did the first sketch. Why was he not in the trial? Go watch The Vault series on CasexCase. Go read Eye on Delphi's Docs and her videos. Go read Delphi Docs documents. If I were a juror I would be pissed at having been fooled by a corrupt prosecution who covered their own butts and used practically the only man in town without a record as a patsy. It's sickening.



Reply



2



Alicia Nicholette · 1d

Mia McHaffey After seeing Richard Allen, do you think he's tall, muscular, and handsome? Those are descriptions the witnesses gave. How did the other people on the trails at the time of the alleged crime not hear or see anything? Do you think it's okay to hold an innocent person in a prison in solitary confinement for 400 days? He wasn't allowed to have a visit with his wife for over 6 months. He was given involuntary haldol injections, yet people can't sign legal documents if they are under the influence. Does it not bother that law enforcement lost over 70 days of interviews, lied numerous times? Why would someone who was going to SA someone but got spooked then proceed to unalive 2 girls and then spend over another hour at the crime scene placing sticks/branches over the girls bodies and blood? One of the branches was 3x taller/longer than the alleged killer and weighed probably as much as he did. The white van that spooked him didn't actually show up until about 15 minutes later than the state said and at the time the girls were allegedly already dead according to what the state says happened. Also what about the grumpy/doesn't say hi guy Cheyenne seen that also matches the demeanor of BG? Cheyenne witnessed him after the BG video was recorded. Can you honestly say that you have no reasonable doubt?



Reply



3



Michele Wood · 1d

Misty Porter

They were only not allowed to see made up conspiracy theories with zero evidence.



Reply



Shawn Palmi · 22h

Danielle Hawkins Who cares who was there that morning or night. Most normal people care about who was there when they were murdered because the goal was to find the killer not make a bridge list. And around the time frame of the murder there was around 10 people.



Reply



Shawn Palmi · 22h

Leslie Walker So many things wrong with this. Firstly the DP sketch was made of someone their taking photographs after the girls were abducted. And if you follow the case it's obvious who it was and he had nothing to do with the case. And not message was received because at no point was it obvious that was the motive or committed the crime because of that motive. It's possible the father could have thought that was a possible motive, that doesn't mean it's what happened. And naming all those girls, are you suggesting they were involved?



Reply



Shawn Palmi · 22h

Alicia Nicholette Libby took a picture of her killer. He was not tall, does not look young. Handsome, we can't see his face, so I don't know. But my point is I trust my own eyes over a witness statement. I don't know why she got it so wrong. Did she see someone else? Was he too far away or too brief of a look to get it right? I don't know, 🤔, but we literally have the image of the killer.



Reply



Danielle Hawkins · 19h

Shawn Palmi well, I'm sure the families of the girls care and Rick Allen and his family care. They have phone data that shows who was there exactly and one of them was not Rick's so yes, it absolutely DOES matter!



Reply



TaRa German · 19h

Mia McHaffey I am so sorry how awful some of these people are. Please be cautious on who you trust as we learned the HARD way. I keep all of you in my thoughts-I am sure it was very hard for you all.



Reply



Jane Townsley · 8h

Danielle Hawkins so who was it?what insight do you think you have.



Reply



Reply to Misty Porter...



Tony Hunt · 1d

Prove it's not fake.



Reply



Mia McHaffey · 1d

Tony Hunt I think the main issue here is that you're discounting the evidence from the phone. Fine. But you haven't said anything supporting the fact the girls were never dropped off. So you just sound like all of the others who are throwing around unlikely theories with zero evidence to substantiate it. It's exhausting.



Reply



Tony Hunt · 1d

Mia McHaffey Oh, thats simple! They were murdered somewhere else and this whole timeline, BG, story, everything we assume as facts are simply not facts! And the search was fabricated too, by fire fighters and the guilty party's . Why didn't they find them the first night with the whole town searching?



Reply



Mia McHaffey · 1d

Tony Hunt still am waiting for even a shred of evidence to support your narrative. Save some foil for the rest of us lol



Reply



Tony Hunt · 1d

Mia McHaffey Likewise on the girls being there on the 13th! Prove it!!! Why was kelsis hair wrapped in Abby's hand?



Reply



Tony Hunt · 1d

Mia McHaffey And how about a shred of evidence on your guilty verdict instead of hear say bs. Indiana brains 🤔🤔🤔



Reply



Mia McHaffey · 1d

Tony Hunt because she was just in her car? That is not unlikely at all. Also, just because you're saying the evidence I'm mentioning isn't real, doesn't mean I'm not providing anything to you. Couldn't say the same about you though. Still waiting...



Reply





Tony Hunt · 1d

Mia McHaffey What are you waiting for, for me to fabricate a lie just like the timeline? Not one human being seen them there that day, phone pics, video, BG evidence should have been thrown out as hear say 101. It's simply not factual and when you put it with all the lies from LE and the families something should have clicked unless your a sheep. Thats exactly why this isn't solved yet because of what I'm saying! It was a good story for a minute but something had to give. Libby's phone pinging across town too, oh but not aloud to use that evidence hummm 🤔



Reply



Sally Alana Carroll · 1d

Tony Hunt Does anyone know who actually found the girls, not sure if true but heard it was a member of family ?



Reply



Tony Hunt · 1d

Sally Alana Carroll Theres been so many people from Ivan Brumbaugh, Pat Brown, somebody who saw two deer then seen the girls. But I guess Pat Brown gets the nod.



Reply



Leslie Walker · 1d

Tony Hunt 🎯🎯🎯🎯🎯🎯



Reply



Leslie Walker · 1d

Mia McHaffey No foil



Reply



Michele Wood · 1d

Tony Hunt

She was wearing Kelsi's sweatshirt. It's common. Her sister was a kid. Stop spreading these stupid lies and conspiracies.



Reply



Tony Hunt · 1d

Michele Wood Which sweatshirt or jacket and nobody is spreading shit!!!



Reply



Michele Wood · 1d

Tony Hunt

Her sisters. What are you talking about? If you watched the actual news and reporting you'd know she forgot to bring a jacket and wore Kelsi's shirt.



Reply



Tony Hunt · 1d

Michele Wood Everything is a lie...



Reply



Robert · 1d

Mia McHaffey It does sound bizarre, but if you examine the video and stills from the video, there doesn't seem to be any shadow being cast by BG like there is with Abby. Trust me, I know how weird that sounds, but it can't be ignored. There too many things that don't make sense in this case.



Reply



Reply to Tony Hunt...



Tony Hunt · 1d

Pictures and recordings dont count as physical evidence of being there, watch and see!



Reply



Tony Hunt · 20h

Shawn Palmi They're innocent too!!! (You have to be from Indiana) 🤔



Reply



Tony Hunt · 16h

Ashley Marie He'd probably like to suck your nose instead? 🤢



Reply



Reply to Tony Hunt...



Tammy Allen McCray · 1d

Mia McHaffey since the trial, after learning that LE lied under oath, after seeing Blairs sketch which she says is 10/10 who she saw, etc do you second guess your verdict? Im genuinely asking. Because I truly belueve he is the wrong guy



Reply



Mia McHaffey · 1d

Tammy Allen McCray not at all. I don't think someone's interpretation of how someone looked in a split second in a seemingly unimportant situation at the time is enough "evidence" to cause reasonable doubt. I think that is a small nuance/detail that doesn't really deserve much though. When deliberating, I (I'll only speak for myself) only took substantiated facts into account. Anything surrounding a sketch is too subjective to hold much weight for me.



Reply



Tammy Allen McCray · 1d

Mia McHaffey so we convicted a man because he went for a walk wearing blue jeans? Thats truly scary



Reply



Mia McHaffey · 1d

Tammy Allen McCray oh my bad I thought we were having an intellectual conversation lol



Reply





Tammy Allen McCray · 1d

Mia McHaffey im truly trying to understand what got you to guilty. I wasnt being smart, but zero DNA, fibers, hairs, nothing electronically linked him to the girls, in fact the state fought (and won) to keep out geofencing because it showed Richard Allen was at home at the crucial time. The state argued how unreliable geofencing is, which is weird as hell since its usually the state that uses geofencing to convict people.



Reply



Mia McHaffey · 1d

Tammy Allen McCray assuming he was convicted for his jeans is crazy, that's why you lost me. But it's odd, you'd think if any of that was factual, they would have brought that up even for a second in the appeal hearing. His phone was never found.



Reply



Tammy Allen McCray · 1d

Mia McHaffey correct, but the day after the girls bodies were found, he came forward & they had his imei etc. So they had his location data. And everything i said is factual. Unfortunately the jury wasnt allowed to hear it. I didnt mean to sound flippant, but mere presence isnt guilt. And thats all the evidence they had.



Reply



Caitlin Marie Daugherty · 1d

Mia McHaffey Oh apologies, so the phone was important to you. How about the fact that they had his phone info back in 2017 and that info was sent to ISP? It's on the tip sheet they also marked him as "cleared."

So while no, he did not have the phone from 2017 anymore, he had it when he spoke to them the very first time and they took his phone data down. See photo.

I imagine you found that out by now, does that not concern you?



Reply



Mia McHaffey · 1d

Tammy Allen McCray the presence isn't guilt. The guilt comes from him clearly lying about seeing the girls, and he was wearing the same clothing a BG, as reported by him. I'll acknowledge that it's a common thing for men to wear, but there is zero information pointing to the fact that there was anyone else there wearing those clothes other than him. Only the killer would know about the van. Or at the very least, someone that was at the crime scene. It's him being there along with all of the other "coincidences". As much as presence doesn't confirm guilt, a various amount of circumstances pointing to his guilt doesn't confirm reasonable doubt. Inferences can be made without meaning there is doubt.

👍 💬 Reply 🤔 🤔 🤔 7



Mia McHaffey · 1d

Caitlin Marie Daugherty I wasn't aware that his phone date confirmed that he wasn't at the scene. If you could point me to where this information is, I'd be more than happy to look at it

👍 💬 Reply 🍷 🍷 🍷 4



Tony Hunt · 1d

Mia McHaffey He didn't say he seen Libby and Abby

👍 💬 Reply 🍷 2



Mia McHaffey · 1d


Tony Hunt correct. In fact, he said he didn't see the girls. Which would have been chronologically impossible if we believe the other claims that he made.

👍 💬 Reply 🍷 🍷 🍷 3



Tammy Allen McCray · 1d

Mia McHaffey



👍 💬 Reply 🍷 1



Tammy Allen McCray · 1d

Mia McHaffey the girls who testified were in a group of 4. Richard Allen said he saw a group of 3 girls. There were probably 75 people on the trails that day. And in fact a group of 3 girls who left a little earlier to go play basketball at the park.

👍 💬 Reply 🍷 4



Tony Hunt · 1d

Mia McHaffey He said he seen the three girls, not the victims. ***

👍 💬 Reply 🍷 2



Tammy Allen McCray · 1d

Mia McHaffey have you read the motion to correct error? According to the state the girls were dead by 2:32. Thats why the phone stopped moving. They also say Weber spooked him when he came home at 2:30 in his van. Problem is, there is surveillance video from another home showing that van heading down the road at 1:47 and connected with his house wifi at 1:50. So the van wasnt there to spook anyone at 2:30



Reply



Tony Hunt · 1d

Tammy Allen McCray Bingo!!!



Reply



Mia McHaffey · 1d

Tony Hunt I'm done arguing with you because you have the reading comprehension of a tomato.



Reply



Mia McHaffey · 1d

Tammy Allen McCray 1- I don't think this alone proves anything. 2- his phone probably was left at home. 3- he said he was there so I don't get how this matters or proves anything other than that his phone was not with him



Reply



Tammy Allen McCray · 1d

Tony Hunt in all fairness, this cant be blamed on the jurors. So much was hidden from them. This is NOT how our justice system is supposed to work



Reply



Tammy Allen McCray · 1d

Mia McHaffey you may be correct. But the geofence does show 3 phones, aside from Libbys withing 100 yds of the crime scene.



Reply



Mia McHaffey · 1d

Tammy Allen McCray was the motion granted? I have not seen it. But the thing is, anything can be said in a motion, but until there is something on the record, or a video or evidence that I can see, none of that proves anything for me. When it's something this serious, and so many people spew untruths, anything that will change my stance has to be substantive.



Reply



Mia McHaffey · 1d

Tammy Allen McCray this is haven't seen. I only saw what you posed about Holeman saying he likely left his phone at home.



Reply





Tony Hunt · 1d

Tammy Allen McCray Agree, but you have one juror here supporting her verdict not knowing anything about this case? Shes definitely not a reasonable person and should never serve as a juror again, pretty scary! (How old is she 20 🤔)



Reply



TCC · 1d

Mia McHaffey so if I'm a man who enjoys walking trails I should avoid plain clothing and going to the trails when no one else is there or at the very least make sure someone is wearing what I wear. Got it.



Reply



1



Mia McHaffey · 1d

TCC you're conveniently picking specific comments out of a full picture. But go off lol



Reply



1



TCC · 1d

Mia McHaffey so what was the full picture then? You mentioned the clothes, the van, and that no one else that looked like him was there, right? So what else brought you to a guilty decision?



Reply





2



Caitlin Marie Daugherty · 1d

Mia McHaffey Here's a depo from Jerry Holeman



Reply



1



Leslie Walker · 1d

Mia McHaffey He didn't see the girls. He saw Herrera, Collier and Matta who were conveniently excluded from discovery to defense because prosecution "lost it". The second set of girls saw a different guy who was approximately 5'10" because he was taller than Reilly V (sp?) who was 5'7". RA is 5'4". He would be noticeably short to anyone who saw him. The state ran a scam of a trial and Gull was in on it.



Reply



3



Leslie Walker · 1d

Mia McHaffey There were approximately 16 people on those trails at the time. We all knew about the van all the way back from almost the beginning. That was not something only the killer would know. The state lied by 20 very important minutes as to what time the van came through to make it fit their lies. On top of that the creek was over 3 feet deep the day the girls were supposedly killed. No way did a 5'4" man and two 5'4" girls went through that freezing, rapid creek for him to all of a sudden rape, kill, undress, dress one, arrange their bodies and then the sticks to show up on the road for Sarah to see. On top of that in her original interview the guy was only muddy. It wasn't until years later her story changed and blood was conveniently added.



Reply



Leslie Walker · 1d

Tammy Allen McCray Yes, Herrera, Matta and Collier



Reply



Leslie Walker · 1d

Tammy Allen McCray 2:47 and 2:50.



Reply



Leslie Walker · 1d

Mia McHaffey So was a whole bunch of other people! He left by 1:30. His agreement to time is that he was there at part of the 1-3pm they asked about. So he said yes. But he was not there the entire time. He left by 1:30. He did not park at CPS. He parked on the other side of the highway. Not one person saw a short chubby man out there that was there. Daniel, Shelby, Cheyenne, Cheryl, both McCains were all out there at the time the girls were supposedly on the bridge. Daniel Pearson was standing on the bridge when the girls would have crossed. He did not see them. How is he and the girls on the bridge at the same time? This info is from phone records and witness interviews yet it was blocked.



Reply



Leslie Walker · 1d

Mia McHaffey He was watching the stock ticker while on the trails. The state doesn't want you to see any geofence that will prove he had left by 1:30. I'm sorry, but these people really messed with you guys and everyone else.



Reply



Theresa Steffens · 1d

Mia McHaffey in fairness the motion to correct error is on the record and does have video for you to see for yourself the time the van came home. The FBI agent that Judge Gull didnt allow to testify would have put Brad Webers "alibi" (because he was a suspect who was cleared due to the fact he wasnt home) on the actual trial record had he been able to testify.



Reply





Brooke Walters-Schmidt · 1d

Mia McHaffey - God help us all. JFC



Reply



Brooke Walters-Schmidt · 1d

Mia McHaffey - 1. He never said he saw the girls. 🧐 He saw a group of THREE girls. Those 3 girls exist and were never interviewed . (Granted you weren't given that info so I will give you that.) And he never said he was wearing the same clothing. He said blue jeans, likely a black jacket, etc. Hell his blue Carhartt wasn't even purchased until AFTER the crime. 🧐



Reply



Brooke Walters-Schmidt · 1d

Mia McHaffey - You need to watch Andrea Burkhart on YouTube and get the facts straight, as well as see how much information you didn't get.



Reply



Francis Gibson · 1d

Tammy Allen McCray It was highlighted that LE didn't lie under oath, Betsy blares sketch is subjective she is an older woman so would have seen a younger man and the girls are younger so would have seen an older man. that sketch looks nothing like Brad Holder so the defense shot themselves in the foot with that whole argument.
and yes they are arguing he was that sketch he waves it around like a child shouting "Brad Holder, Brad Holder"



Reply



Francis Gibson · 1d

Tammy Allen McCray stop spreading misinformation hand picking little things. Richard Allen didn't have his phone on him that day, the phone he gave to police was an older model the phone he had he destroyed before going to police he ran it over with a lawn mower READ KATHYS STATEMENT



Reply



Francis Gibson · 1d

TCC just don't kill little girls



Reply



Shawn Palmi · 22h

Tammy Allen McCray You know Libby did take a picture of her killer. Go in Google images and search Delphi Bridge Guy. You can see for yourself what her killer looks like.



Reply



Shawn Palmi · 22h

Tammy Allen McCray If you are honest that their is geofencing data proving he wasn't there, his defense would be screaming it, his family and friends also, and so would the girls friends and family as they would clearly want the correct person to pay for their crimes. So please explain how you know he was home?



Reply

Edited



Tammy Allen McCray · 21h

Francis Gibson depositions are done under oath. In court testimony is under oath. If you read transcripts from different hearings, depositions, and trial....lies are found. I don't think the sketch is Holder. But LE used the sketches to look for suspects, so it should've been allowed in at trial.



Reply



Tammy Allen McCray · 21h

Shawn Palmi I know from the geofencing that at the time the state says the girls were at the trail & were killed, Richard Allens phone was home.



Reply



Francis Gibson · 21h

Tammy Allen McCray sketches are subjective BB is older, the 3 girls are younger.

the point you make about there being 4 girls hasn't been contested by the defense or appellate so! you might want to educate yourself on minors and witnesses.

half of what you say is waffle Baldwin spouted and misinterpreting what you have.

the sketches like 3rd party have to be an exact depiction. correct me if I'm wrong (I'm not) BB was cross examined and asked about the sketch by the defense and said "it's a rough image of what I saw" 👉 not her exact words but you get the picture. That was the defense's chance to get the sketch in they failed. BH was at work, had that as his alibi as well as his brother in law drove with him, Patrick Westfall was at him and around his home via his mobile data, Elvis fields wasn't even close enough to Delphi for them to legally check.

That leave the Klines Keegan was downloading CSAM in the house Tony was around the neighborhood all day.

it's been 4 years coming into 5 and even though you people get debunked you run around shouting the same crap and it's tiresome now.

this will go to the supreme Court then you'll need to find a new child killer to defend



Reply



Tammy Allen McCray · 21h

Francis Gibson oh so mobile data is accurate when we're talking about Westfall? The witnesses were a group of 4 girls. You know this. And how do we know where Elvis was? You don't find it even a little bit strange that he asked LE if they find his spit on 1 of the girls but he can explain it would he be in trouble?



Reply



Christy Sharp · 21h

Mia McHaffey What other claims ? Confessions ?



Reply



Francis Gibson · 20h

Tammy Allen McCray 1 was under the age to be a witness and saying you saw 3 isn't a big discrepancy. Elvis fields was with his carers visiting a friend at hospital that's a fact.

I haven't said anything about phone data being inaccurate but I will say out in the woods it is Steve a forensic expert went out and did experiments on the bridge and the crime scene and he pinged all over town. 😞 As Murphy originally stated before Ferency died, "sister was a drunk with pill bottles everywhere, her story changed Everytime and she couldn't produce anything she promised." about Elvis, "he seemed simple and asked a lot of hypothetical questions" once Ferency was killed him and click thought they could make a name for themselves click eventually fled the state because his dodgy police work caught up with him.



Reply



Tonya L Burris · 19h

Mia McHaffey wait.....was the whole "looking at the stock ticker" on his phone not true?



Reply



Kari DeBoe Hale · 18h

Mia McHaffey saying anything in a motion that is Not Factual is a violation just so you know. Lawyers can get themselves in big trouble for that. Ask your lawyer friends .



Reply



April Vasquez · 5h

Tammy Allen McCray

2:47 and 2:51.

I know you know this and I think your fingers hit the wrong buttons; as mine often do.



Reply



April Vasquez · 5h

Francis Gibson who mows their yard in February in Indiana?

He was only missing one phone, one phone destroyed by a lawnmower.

Prove he had a burner?



Reply

...



April Vasquez · 5h

Francis Gibson

Check out NM bragging about using 3 girls from the 4 girl group on Hidden True Crime.... This is not a secret.

If they can lie about this, they can (and did) lie about anything.



Reply



April Vasquez · 5h

Francis Gibson

Who needs an alibi when there is no true TOD?

How did Holder check into his gym at 1:41 pm on the 13th if he was on the clock at work until 2:45pm?



Reply



April Vasquez · 5h

Francis Gibson

Elvis Fields alibi was never confirmed. His phone sat from 10am to 7pm on the 13th without being touched.

He claims he was with Rod and Ned at a hospital in Muncie visiting a friend. It has been confirmed that friend was released before the 13th.

Elvis also said in his depo that he has a knife in his sisters camper for about 6 years and only took it out when she tore it down.

Holder owned a .40 S&W that was never tested.

All of this is in their depositions.



Reply



April Vasquez · 5h

Shawn Palmi

Jerry Holemans deposition; read it. Actually, read all of them. They are quite eye opening.



Reply



April Vasquez · 5h

Francis Gibson

Brad Holder, the guy that was creating his own brethren at the time. They didn't limit themselves to Brad.



Reply



April Vasquez · 5h

Theresa Steffens

I actually feel bad for Mia and all of the jurors.

They had to base their verdict on what they were told/shown.

Personally, I see a ton of reasonable doubt even with what was heard at trial but I understand their decision.

I would be absolutely furious if I had been a juror who took a man's life away then came out to learn I was lied to about everything.

OR I would defend my decision because I couldn't handle facing the truth.



Reply



April Vasquez · 5h

Mia McHaffey

If you were to see the geofence; you would see when his phone appears in the area and no longer appears in the area.

It's not as simple as "he left his phone at home".

He went there, we know that. What is being disputed is what time he arrived and what time he left. The geofence clears that up. The defense did fight to have that admitted but the state fought back and won based on "privacy of others and the reliability of it". How can the state fight it based on reliability when they literally used it to locate and identify people that were in and around the area? Allen's lead sheet was marked cleared for a reason.

I thank you for doing your duty. I am sorry that you were forced to do so without hearing all of the facts.



Reply



April Vasquez · 5h

Tammy Allen McCray

Do you have the screenshot of them saying that? I believe I still have it.



Reply



Tammy Allen McCray · 5h

April Vasquez I know. I forgot to edit



Reply



April Vasquez · 4h

Tammy Allen McCray

I assumed. I know that you know this case very well. 🤔



Reply



Francis Gibson · 3h

April Vasquez in the word of Leeman "brad holder Brad holder Brad Holder



Reply



Reply to Tammy Allen McCray...



Hannah Taylor · 1d

Mia McHaffey they didn't even tell you all the facts of the case. I don't understand how you all came with a guilty verdict. There is no evidence that says as magnetic except for his false confession that he did after he was in solitary confinement for 13 months and being questioned for over like 30 hours.. I don't know this man, but I can tell you this I believe 100% the fan did not do it.



Reply



3



Mia McHaffey · 1d

Hannah Taylor I'm just gonna send this one back and ask that you do more research. Because the majority of your claims in this comment are false.



Reply



5



Leslie Walker · 1d

Mia McHaffey You are wrong. This man was tortured and drugged into confessing. He also confessed to cheating on a cigarette, starting ww3, killing his entire family including grandchildren he doesn't even have. He was eating and wearing his own poop. He was drinking out of a toilet. He was poking his own privates with a spork. His face and eyes were black where he was running head on into a wall. It's truly disgusting that the state and Gull got away with this. No one is safe as long as this is allowed to go on in a so called justice system. If you jurors really care about justice in this country you should want to fight for a fair investigation and a fair trial.



Reply



2



Brenda K. Simonton Kopka · 1d

Mia McHaffey I have no reason to lie to you... what you just said is factually wrong!! I take it you did not listen to the hearing on monday & how it seemed pretty apparent that even the judges were repulsed! and if you listen close you can hear the rally for Rick outside the courtroom screaming at the judges scorn. I can tell you a ton of things that you were NOT allowed to see, if you were truly a juror.. 💔 ..
AND I do not blame the jury! I truly don't!!!.. all you knew is what you were dealt, although i think some of the jurors should have been able to see through some of the BS & consider that there has to be more than this... but the judge prohibited the defense from presenting their defense, that would've helped defend Allen...
(NO phone geofencing was allowed, NO 3rd party suspects that not only had the defense stumbled upon but the defense found out that an FBI task force had been investigating them for the crime for 3 years..
NO mention of any names of any person that police had spoken to previously, including the property owner..
NO mention of all the evidence that the state claimed they "lost" 😞.. or screwed up, no negative mention of the state whatsoever!..
NONE of the sketches that the state had used over the previous 6 years were allowed, per request by the prosecutor..
& so much more.. basically most of the states own work was hid from the jury!.. including the investigation into the odinists, which entailed the three-year investigation by an FBI task force team.



Reply



2



Reply to Hannah Taylor...



Caitlin Marie Daugherty · 1d

Mia McHaffey So after reading some of your comments, I'm curious - what EXACTLY, for you, was what made him BG to you WITHOUT A REASONABLE DOUBT - if not confessions...bullet? Voice?



Reply



3



Mia McHaffey · 1d

[Caitlin Marie Daugherty](#) please see above comment



Reply



Reply to Caitlin Marie Daugherty...



Mike Sims · 1d

[Mia McHaffey](#) the judge already had her mind made up, who is she covering for?



Reply



Tony Hunt · 1d

[Mike Sims](#) Exactly!!!



Reply



Terry Young · 1d

One of Richard Allen's "peers" what a fruitcake! And SHE was a juror? wow



Reply



Reply to Mike Sims...



Brandy Ellis · 1d

[Mia McHaffey](#) so you managed to prove to everyone that you shouldn't have served on that jury. Not one Fact that he did it! Zero proof!



Reply



Brooke Walters-Schmidt · 1d

[Brandy Ellis](#) - I have lost all faith in humanity. 🙄🙄🙄



Reply



Reply to Brandy Ellis...



Jodi Phillippo Stewart · 1d

[Mia McHaffey](#) I would ❤️ to know what evidence you did use for your decision. Also if you received any advice from the Judge on how to decide the case. I've never served as a Juror, so the process fascinates me. TY



Reply

Edited



Classic Over Thinker · 1d

[Mia McHaffey](#) did you not wonder whose semen is inside BOTH girls and whose male DNA was on both girls genitals and the one pair of underwear 🤢. did the jury not care about whoever had there filthy paws all over both girls 🤢 Justice for #AbbyandLibby 💜💜



Reply



Kevin Lucas · 1d

[Mia McHaffey](#) Debbie Slaughter Rowilson Frank Meister Gray Hughes TaRa German Becky Marchand Patty Susan Hendricks Hidden: A True Crime Podcast Aspen Conner Marvelous Marvin



Reply





Kevin Lucas · 1d
KevinÁine Greenlee



Reply



Mia McHaffey · 1d
Kevin Lucas hi áine & kevin 😊



Reply



Kevin Lucas · 1d
Mia McHaffey Thank you for both your jury service and coming forward.
👍🙏💜💙



Reply



Francis Gibson · 1d
Mia McHaffey Hi Mia I've sent you a private message I hope you don't mind



Reply



Kevin Lucas · 1d
Francis Gibson I'm sorry my tag of you and Tom Webster didn't go through.



Reply



Francis Gibson · 1d
Kevin Lucas you're ok buddy I hope you are well



Reply



Kevin Lucas · 1d
Mia McHaffey 🙏🙏💜💙



Reply



Kevin Lucas · 1d

Mia McHaffey 🥺🥺💜💙



Reply



Kevin Lucas · 1d

Mia McHaffey There is a memorial park built, maintained, and operated in their honor and memory. The scenic country campus is truly beautiful, even heavenly. Here is the now-defunct temporary beaming smiles double portrait billboard as seen shortly after the 2025 edition of Abby's Angels. I will be forever grateful to have had the opportunity to see with my own eyes, pay my respects, and photograph in person this angelic poster.



Reply

Edited



Kevin Lucas · 1d

Mia McHaffey The scholarship is seemingly now defunct, but its website hosts a precious set of portraiture. Thank you and your fellow jurors for getting this verdict right. 🥺💜💙 <https://impact.ivytech.edu/project/9663>



Reply



Kevin Lucas · 1d

Francis Gibson I'm alright all things considered.



Reply



Kevin Lucas · 1d

Mia McHaffey A truly precious, priceless treasure for posterity! 🥺💜💙 https://youtu.be/VwaV33U8UIs?si=pzoa3nocx_16fn1p



Reply



Kevin Lucas · 1d

Mia McHaffey The links are valid, they just seem to not hyperlink on the browser I'm using at the time of this writing. Here is a precious timestamp reference. 🙄💜💙



Abby Priceless



Anna Williams
478 subscribers

Subscribe



557



Share



16,188 views · Jun 22, 2017

Tomorrow, June 23rd, 2017 Abigail Joyce Williams would be turning 14.
It is still unbelievable to me that I won't be making a cake and planning her party... not this year, not next year...
Please remember her tomorrow, and everyday!
HAPPY BIRTHDAY ANGEL!



Reply



Kevin Lucas · 1d

Mia McHaffey The timestamp references was humbly and respectfully shown only because the link wasn't obvious, not to be potentially problematic for anyone. 🙏💜💙



Reply



Reply to Kevin Lucas...



Jamie Anderson- Wilkins · 1d

Mia McHaffey so please tell what evidence did you base your decision on?



Reply



2



Brooke Walters-Schmidt · 1d

Jamie Anderson- Wilkins - 100 percent on vibes and he looked scary. Bet.



Reply

Edited



1



Reply to Jamie Anderson- Wilkins...



Anabella Maysen · 1d

Mia McHaffey Can I respectfully ask what did convince you beyond a reasonable doubt? Since the defense was not allowed to bring in evidence of third party suspects & yall never heard about the other men on the bridge, would that have made a difference?



Reply



2



Tonya Hatton · 1d

Mia McHaffey from interviews, it would seem that for most of the jury it came down to.. he was the only one there. Do you think it would've made a difference if the defense would've been allowed to present it's 3rd party culprit evidence? Such as, Libby had been catfished by a online predator and they were there that day because they were supposed to meet him. Do you think it would've changed things if you had been allowed to hear the defenses explanation of the sticks and placement of them and the connection to the odinist brad holder who was Abby's boyfriend's dad and all the crazy things he posted around that time?



Reply



Marissa Edens-Rosa · 1d

Mia May I ask why do you think there was not blood found in Richard Allens car?



Reply



Kelsey Birkett · 1d

Mia McHaffey you were a juror on his first trial?



Reply



Mia McHaffey · 1d

I just want to say - I really appreciate the people with opposing views and opinions who were respectful and sympathetic. We are totally able to have opposing views and respect each other. The hateful rhetoric towards people that don't agree with you is so unnecessary. Whether you believe that Richard Allen is guilty or not, it can also be possible that both sides of this are made up of people who are just trying to do what they think is right. Support what they believe and feel passionate about. And that's okay. There doesn't have to be nefarious intent in opposing opinions. I know that I can speak for all of the jurors when I say that every single one of us took this very seriously. I promise you, when you're truly faced with something like this, you are not happy to write "guilty" down on that paper. It was a very emotional process for every single person involved. I know I will truly never be the same. Please take these things into consideration before making posts and comments. And most importantly, RIP Abby and Libby.



Reply



22



Ridge Nappier · 1d

Mia McHaffey what convinced you bridge guy killed the girls? For all I know he could have just been warning them not to fall off the hill? No hate just wondering



Reply



2



Christine Salzer · 1d

Mia McHaffey did you drastically change your appearance during trial? I was there every single day and don't seem to remember you. Where in the box did you sit? Also...if you really were a juror, there is proof on your profile that you broke the sequester order. The rules were pretty clear. Did one of the escorts allow it? If so, which one?



Reply



Mia McHaffey · 1d

Christine Salzer that's funny, I don't seem to remember you either. Please show me the proof on my profile I "broke the sequester order". I didn't have my phone, watch tv, or see my family for 3.5 weeks. But yeah, I'm just a random person claiming to have been on the jury. If you've looked back at my profile two years, you should've seen what I looked like then.



Reply



2



Christine Salzer · 1d

Mia McHaffey I sat second row, next to Bob Motta, Erica Morse, and Kathy Allen. You posted on Facebook in the middle of trial. 🤔



Reply

Edited



Mia McHaffey · 1d

Christine Salzer screenshot or it didn't happen 🤔 but yeah, I must've been too close to the front to notice you.



Reply



2



Mia McHaffey · 1d

Christine Salzer glad you were able to get a seat though!



Reply



2



Christine Salzer · 1d

Mia McHaffey I wasn't a spectator. I was there to do my job.



Reply



Mia McHaffey · 1d

Christine Salzer not too observant, I fear.



Reply



3



Ashley Marie · 1d

Ridge Nappier remember there's more to the video than what we saw.



Reply



1



Francis Gibson · 1d

Mia McHaffey she's one of the fake Pis the other one is on the run, her husband was just arrested as well for molesting a child. they aren't Pis they just run with any narrative



Reply



2



Angie Hopkins · 21h

Christine Salzer **Mia McHaffey** was sequestered and didn't have a device. Why would you put forth this lie? It's interesting that you don't recognize her, yet you were assisting Baldwin & Rozzi with Erica Morse. You literally sat at the front in a guaranteed seat as I saw myself daily.



Reply



3



Angie Hopkins · 21h

Mia McHaffey It was very obvious from Day 1 of trial how seriously the jury took their responsibilities. The questions put forth throughout trial, the stoic faces with very little obvious expression (except for those who cried during devastating testimony and viewing horrific exhibits) showed me how hard you all worked to fulfill your duties. The impacts of this case and this trial can never fully be explained to those who were not fortunate enough to bear witness. Justice was served. Thank-you for your public service. No matter what attacks may come at you now that you've outed yourself, just remember that there are many, many "guilters" who trust the conclusion that the jury reached when weighing the evidence against Richard Allen. He selfishly stole the lives of Libby & Abby, and has left indelible scars on so many. **#JusticeforLibbyandAbby** 💜💜



Reply



5



Mia McHaffey · 20h

Christine Salzer Christine where did you go? I'm still waiting on some proof of these defamatory claims 🤔🤔



Reply



2



Tony Hunt · 20h

Mia McHaffey Look who's talking!!! And you're not running the show! Im starting to believe your on some sort of mind altering medication, did they check all jurors to see if there were any mental diagnoses ? (They sure as hell should've !!!)



Reply



Mia McHaffey · 20h

Tony Hunt when did I ever say I'm running the show?? Also, it's so ironic how idiotic you sound and you don't even know it lol you'd think as someone who believes RA is innocent you would want people on the jury whom are are able to sympathize with mental health issues.



Reply



1



King Ron · 18h

Mia McHaffey yes, ty, and just maybe this division is by design. What better way to distract public attention from real criminals activities. And, speaking to the real criminals, you know who you are. Playing out as actors in a movie.



Reply



Caitlin Marie Daugherty · 15h

Mia McHaffey Thank you for having the nerve to speak, you're the only one who has, since your interview on Murder Sheet.



Reply

...



Lainei Marcum · 10h

Mia McHaffey you didn't pay attention to those things that you said why on Earth did you find him guilty



Reply



Reply to Mia McHaffey...



Breeun McNeil-Buhrkuhl · 1d

Mia McHaffey Thank you for your service. I know it must have been emotionally hard. If you don't mind me asking what juror number where you or what seat did you sit in.



Reply



7



Mia McHaffey · 20h

Breeun McNeil-Buhrkuhl #2 😊



Reply



2



Breeun McNeil-Buhrkuhl · 20h

Mia McHaffey thank you. What juror # were you?



Reply



Mia McHaffey · 20h

Breeun McNeil-Buhrkuhl see above



Reply



2



Reply to Breeun McNeil-Buhrkuhl...



Brooke Walters-Schmidt · 1d

Mia McHaffey - Unbelievable that not a single person saw reasonable doubt in all of this. 🙄 No DNA. Betsy Blair sketch doesn't match Richard Allen. Bullet is BS science. The list is absolutely endless. Sarah's sketch was influenced by still photos of BG. God help us all!



Reply

Edited



Christy Sharp · 20h

Brooke Walters-Schmidt Jurors never saw the sketches, Gull denied it.



Reply



Reply to Brooke Walters-Schmidt...





Nana Foster · 1d

Mia McHaffey thank you for getting it right. Sorry you have to deal with the b@tsh*t crazy Crime QAnon conspiracy loons who think 1% possibility = 99% fact, and 99% fact is only 1% plausible.
The whole headphone jack saga was outrageous and didn't even prove anything regarding his guilt/innocence. Water damage plain and simple, and sad that state experts ultimately dropped the ball on that.
Did you dig into this case more after trial, or were you D-U-N done? You know that his phone never pinged at the trail, disproving his lie about being online looking at a stock ticker (his phone was either turned off/in airplane mode unable to access any online stock ticker/or left behind at home). And of course the only phone missing from the pile of phones he had kept. I can't remember the exact reason the cell tower ping/google geofence info wasn't allowed at trial, but I remember an interview McLeland did where he said it was a tit for tat legal procedure thing that would've opened the door for defense to bring up other evidence at trial that would've just confused the jurors.



Reply



3



Mia McHaffey · 1d

Nana Foster 100% about the pile of phones. People don't mention that often. They had every phone they've ever owned since the 90s, but not that one 🤔



Reply



2



Claire Ceci · 1d

If you were really on this jury, didn't you think it was suspicious at all that Judge Gull did NOT allow his defense team to show any evidence? Something very fishy and wrong happened in this Delphi, Indiana case and MILLIONS of us are questioning it.



Reply



1



Tony Hunt · 1d

Claire Ceci They were paid off before they even set foot in that court room, and they will be exposed "shortly" and be in "jail"



Reply



1



Nana Foster · 1d

Claire Ceci you should learn what nexus means ...



Reply



2



Claire Ceci · 1d

Nana Foster Whatever.



Reply



Tony Hunt · 20h

Medication time!!!



Reply



Reply to Nana Foster...





Robert · 1d

Mia McHaffey The judge and the state purposely kept so much from you. None of you were able to make an informed decision. They lied about the timeline, they lied about the bullet, they lied about Rick saying he was BG, they lied to get an unwarranted search warrant. They lied about nearly everything.



Reply

Edited



Turk Payton · 1d

Mia McHaffey would the evidence of the ritualistic pagan sacrifice of sued you in any way, or the evidence of the fact that one of the states witnesses would have testified that he is not the person that she saw



Reply



Tony Hunt · 1d

Mia McHaffey You don't know anything about this case, nothing like I do!!!



Reply



The comment Mia McHaffey is replying to has been deleted.



Mia McHaffey · 22h

Adam Hanabarger and why is that?



Reply



Lorinda Cearing · 16h

Mia McHaffey I am not insulting your decision, but I will say without transparency in a trial, and only circumstantial evidence which even the Attorney General of IN even stated that on TV. It is also the responsibility of the jury to rule without a doubt. I do not believe that happened. Such a critical thing as a brutal murder of 2 little girls, and a person looking at their entire life behind bars for something they may have not done, because every single thing the defense wanted to talk about was denied ! This ma's trial was not fair and neither was his pretrial detention environment. Like judge Brown said : You protected him into psychosis.



Reply



Barbie Mitchell · 15h

Mia McHaffey Do you know you and 11 others convicted a 100% innocent man who they tortured in a maximum security prison to the point where he went into psychosis and all this was as a pre-trial detainee? There are hundreds of thousands of people all over the globe fighting for justice for this man. I honestly could not live with myself if I convicted an innocent man and then researched the case after the trial finding out he had nothing to do with it. I would join the fight to help Free Richard Allen, that's the least you can do before this innocent man dies in prison!



Reply



Margo Davis · 12h

Mia McHaffey then what evidence if you were a true juror did you convict him on please explain



Reply



JohnJohn Faulk · 5h

Please share your reasoning to vote guilty minus all conversations and what is left ? He tried to help by saying he was there but didn't see anything that stood out , did you watch his 1st interview then the 2nd interview was a set up for Jerry Holeman to pin it on with nothing but a bullet casino that had to be fired and only then did she eliminate Brad Webers gun , but its wrong to do it like that , then she destroyed the gun , and that's wrong but the worst thing they did is take an innocent man a put him into solitary confinement for 13 months allowing Odinis guards to watch him.



Reply



Jessica Brehon · 1h

Delphi docs collected all the mentions of a van from far before Wala's "confession". We heard about a van in 2017

https://www.reddit.com/r/DelphiDocs/comments/1gpizrn/white_van_sightings/



Reply



Jessica Brehon · 1h

Ron Logan wore the bridge guy costume on the news and the FBI found out he arranged a fake alibi before the girls were found and his cell phone pinged at the crime scene.

His search warrant with the evidence from the FBI here:

https://www.reddit.com/r/DelphiDocs/comments/utczd5/the_search_warrant_affidavits_for_ron_logans/

share_id=6B8tVmcBnfKmEpaJLEHHp&utm_content=2&utm_medium=android_app&utm_name=androidcss&utm_source=share&utm_term=1



Reply



Jessica Brehon · 1h

Webers van is seen on the neighbors camera entering the private drive at 2:44pm.

The state claims the girls were killed at 2:32pm.

How could the killer be spooked by a van that doesn't arrive for another 15-30 mins?

Screenshot on cctv here:

https://www.reddit.com/r/DelphiDocs/comments/1i6jof3/richard_allen_motion_to_correct_error_and_hearing/



Reply

Jessica Brehon · 1h

The landowner wearing jeans and a blue jacket and a hat, whose cell phone puts him at the crime scene during the crime.



Reply

Jessica Brehon · 42m

Mia McHaffey how do you feel about the state claiming that Libby said "dat be a gun", now that the bg video has been released and we all heard it was actually "this is the path we go down"?



Reply

Reply to Mia McHaffey...



Kenny Catron · 1d

Nobody, including myself, commenting here, were not the Judge, were not the Prosecutor, were not the investigators investigating this horrendous crime, or were part of the jury. If you have some absolute proof that he's not guilty, maybe you should let his attorneys know. If you have some absolute proof that the Judge was incompetent, maybe you should let the Indiana Supreme Court know. If you have direct evidence that the investigators are incompetent, and messed up the investigation, maybe you should call the FBI and let them know about it. That being said, all these hunches, accusations of other suspects, accusations of misdeeds by the professionals that are educated in their profession, are just that, hunches and accusations.



Reply

Edited



5

John Thomas · 1d

Kenny Catron amen kenny so there is someone on here with some damn common since 🙌👍👍👍🇺🇸



Reply



2



Kenny Catron · 11h

John Thomas thank you! 👍



Reply



Reply to John Thomas...



Shawn Palmi · 8h

Kenny Catron That is part of the problem though, even though I think he did it, I also think the judge not allowing cameras and the prosecution not allowing the geofencing data among other things made it hard for some to accept the verdict.



Reply

Most Relevant is selected, so some replies may have been filtered out.



Reply to Kenny Catron...



Kimberly D Brown · 1d

Not sure of the location he was held at, but wasn't there a jail or prison guard that wore an Odenist patch on his uniform? Does anyone think that is weird?



Reply



Libby Baca · 1d

[Kimberly D Brown](#) yes I was told the same thing



Reply



Kimberly D Brown · 1d

[Libby Baca](#) I'm not sure if they will try and bring that detail in at retrial?



Reply



Reply to Libby Baca...



Gloria Williams · 1d

[Kimberly D Brown](#) yes that was disclosed before .



Reply



Shiloh August · 1d

[Kimberly D Brown](#) yes at Westville Prison



Reply



Hollie Gentry · 1d

[Kimberly D Brown](#) that is BS



Reply



Shannon Van Zee · 1d

[Kimberly D Brown](#) additionally this was pre-trial! Everyone else housed in that building had been convicted of a crime. It is beyond humane what they did



Reply



Brian Dusenbery · 20h

[Shannon Van Zee](#) it was so he could be safe & get the appropriate care. Please try and keep up.



Reply



Shannon Van Zee · 19h

[Brian Dusenbery](#) girl please, he ate his own shit and stabbed his junk with a spork, involuntarily injected with drugs, kept away from his attorneys and family. Much of which was done BEFORE HE WAS CHARGED. Be soooo for real right now Mrs. Douchebery. Take a seat, there is not room for you here Brian.



Reply



Reply to Shannon Van Zee...






Reply to Kimberly D Brown...



Jennifer Dickerson · 1d



Reply



Kathy Zeffel · 1d

Didn't they find the box cutter that was used in the dumpster of the cvs he worked at. I think guilty



Reply



Christy Sharp · 1d

[Kathy Zeffel](#) 5 yrs later really ?



Reply



Sheryl M Bearden Dijon · 1d

[Kathy Zeffel](#) no...



Reply



Reply to Kathy Zeffel...



Jim Martin · 1d

He wasn't put in solitary to coerce a confession, he was put there to keep him alive! What did you want them to do, put him in the Holiday Inn? His life would of been threatened even there. He had iPads, movies, phone calls, text messages. He wasn't in psychosis then.



Reply



Jennaca Keeler · 1d

[Jim Martin](#) a county/ local jail in a seperate cell would have been sufficient vs prison where they had to keep him in solitary cause he can not be with gen pop since he was not convicted yet. Cass county said they would take him. Judge said no.



Reply



Sheryl M Bearden Dijon · 1d

[Jim Martin](#) thats BS



Reply



Jessica Dawn · 13h

Jim Martin one of the CO's was involved with the Odinists. According to what I have read and heard.



Reply



Reply to Jim Martin...



Willis Frazier · 1d

That's kinda hard to explain why it was there and how I didn't come from his firearm



Reply



Becky Elkins Samuels · 1d

sometimes the smallest piece of a case is the thing that finally closes a case. What one may feel isnt important in a case another may feel different. All effidence is important,its a murder trial.



Reply



Bob Henning · 1d

So wrong to judge only God Can judge let it go trough the process you might find they made mistakes it happens all the time 🏁🧑



Reply



Carol Ann Landrie · 1d

He's guilty. The jury got it right



Reply



Phil Higgins · 21h

Carol Ann Landrie 🤔 that guy did not kill those girls! The jury got it wrong and I bet you he gets a new trial!



Reply



Reply to Carol Ann Landrie...



Adam Hanabarger · 1d

Not that it matters much in that I'm nobody, but I don't think it was him. Like everyone, I followed it, but, to me, it doesn't add up. I think he didn't do it



Reply

Edited



Robert Detert · 1d

He's the guy.

He's guilty.

They got him.

Took awhile but they got him



Reply



Shannon Hauser · 19h

Iv always said it wasn't him. Doesn't look like guy in picture



Reply





Steven Malesky · 19h

Let's look at these timelines shall we

Bridge Guy Timeline:

1. 1:26-1:30pm three girls pass a man who they say resembles the person in Libby's video. This man was walking with a purpose towards the bridge.
2. Betsy Blaire sees a man standing on the first platform that looks like the man in Libby's video.
3. Around 2pm Betsy Blair leaves the bridge and walks past the girls as they are making their way to the bridge.
4. At 2:13 Libby starts to record and captures a man with a blue jacket and blue jeans.

Richard Allan revised timeline from 2022

(Different than his 2017 one)

1. Richard Allen says he arrives at 12:00pm
2. Has he is walking the trail and passes 3 girls.
3. Richard Allen says he walked out on to the first platform looking down at the fish
4. Richard Allen states he left the bridge and sat down on a bench.
5. Between 1:30-1:45 he walks back and leaves.

Now RA wants us to believe these two events, which are the exact same, took place one right after another.

This means there should be a different set of 3 girls that he would have passed, yet no one has mentioned another set of girls

And for shats and gaggles let's say he passes the girls on the way out and it is the same three girls that saw BG. Well the girls would have said they saw two men cross paths and RA would have without a doubt seen BG which he never states he does since the girls saw a man walking to and not away from the bridge.

Oh let's not forgot both Allen and BG are wearing the same clothing.



Reply



3



April Vasquez · 4h

Steven Malesky

Two of the three girls commented on this post.

As did 1 of the girls used as a witness. The one used as a witness was not with the other two.



Reply

Edited



Reply to Steven Malesky...



Diana Banas · 19h

You saying, you were a juror I was there, didn't see you



Reply



April Vasquez · 4h

Diana Banas

It's been confirmed that she was juror #2.



Reply



Reply to Diana Banas...



Kim Yoder · 17h

The man is innocent



Reply



Christy Coons · 14h

Kim Yoder I 100% agree with you



Reply



Reply to Kim Yoder...



Carol Collins · 16h

I still believe that Mr Logan the property owner who bragged in prison about it get it. There has been testimony of by an ex-girlfriend that he was very cruel and mean to animals and to her as well we all need to rethink this but whether he is guilty or not that those jurors need to determine that and listen well God bless them all



Reply



Susan Elieff Dawson · 16h

If he is innocent why are they debating the search of his home? If he's innocent then it shouldn't be a problem.

👍 🗨 Reply



Anita Hagerty · 16h

You have to throw out the confessions, Allen had been kept in Solitary Confinement long enough to make him psychotic.


👍 🗨 Reply 1



April Vasquez · 4h

[Anita Hagerty](#)

The detailed "confession" we only heard from Wala is very similar to her favorite YouTubers theory of the crime that he held for years. And he knew about the van before Wala's testimony.



👍 🗨 Reply



Reply to Anita Hagerty...



Heath Nevitt · 7h

[Tony Hunt](#) cell phone location records do though. Were those made up as well? The evidence against RA is overwhelming. Without the confessions. Its no coincidence he was there at time of abduction, time frame of the murders, and vehicle on camera leaving after that time frame. The case was butchered by law enforcement. If the investigation was fully taken over by ISP and FBI it would have been much quicker.

👍 🗨 Reply



Tony Hunt · 6h

[Heath Nevitt](#) "Overwhelming" really?? I already know I shouldn't respond and I won't 🙄🙄🙄

👍 🗨 Reply



April Vasquez · 4h

[Heath Nevitt](#) you're misinformed about his cellphone location. Holeman himself said during his deposition that Allen's phone was at his home. There is geofence data that will eventually make its way to the public.

👍 🗨 Reply



April Vasquez · 4h

[Heath Nevitt](#)

That vehicle? Check out those taillights!



Reply



Reply to Heath Nevitt...



Angie Stahl · 6h



Reply



Shawna Otis · 1d

I truly don't understand how anyone thinks he's innocent, I won't argue my reasoning but I think he is as guilty as they come.



Reply



9



Marcia Mahony · 1d

[Shawna Otis](#) I agree.



Reply



1



Tony Hunt · 1d

[Shawna Otis](#) Simple minded...



Reply



Reply to Shawna Otis...



Gabe Beckham · 7h

So obviously guilty.



Reply



Tony Hunt · 6h

[Gabe Beckham](#) Poor Richard!!! Please let him out already!!! He's suffered enough!!!



Reply



Reply to Gabe Beckham...



Pamela Eckels Guthrie · 1d

He's guilty



Reply



4



Susan Burrows · 1d

May the judges bring justice back to the legal system in Indiana! 🙏
Richard Allen did NOT get a fair trial!



Reply



4



Michelle Filoni Maleta · 1d

the unspent bullet from his gun at the crime scene is what did it for me



Reply



4



Brian Steinke · 1d

Michelle Filoni Maleta absolutely. That puts him there at the scene.



Reply



1



Reply to Michelle Filoni Maleta...



Alyssa Bannach · 2d

Still. No motive. No DNA connection. I truly don't understand how he was convicted without these giant pieces of evidences that are always used in court. Someone please explain this part.



Reply



6



Lowell Parson · 2d

Alyssa Bannach simple, the judge didn't allowed the jury to hear certain things, not the entire story or scenario the only material evidence I had was a bullet, and I still believe it was Barney, Fife, that did the investigation, and that bullet they and floyd gilmer and goober were his deputies found was the one that fell out of his shirt pocket. And floyd gomer and goober was his deputies, and andy, was on vacation with helen



Reply



1



Perry E. Tucker · 2d

Alyssa Bannach , Now explain how a jury of peers is the road to justice?
I trust dogs more than people!



Reply



4



Marcia Mahony · 1d

Alyssa Bannach Motive is not an element of the crime. I have concerns about using the confession of a mentally ill person.

And I think he's guilty. He put himself at the crime scene before he decompensated, before he was even a suspect. And rounds from his gun were at the crime scene along with the girls.



Reply



3



Christy Sharp · 1d

Marcia Mahony He lived & worked there for 5 yrs, not 1 person tipped him in. He didn't break down till solitary confinement. " you protected him into psychosis. "



Reply

Edited



Reply to Marcia Mahony...



Reply to Alyssa Bannach...



Annie English · 11h

Hopefully someone will get it right this time. Your mental shape has alot to do with how your mind works i would have told them what they wanted to hear just to get them out of my face. ...he was setup by the family and law enforcement someone had to be found guilty...



Reply



Shawn Palmi · 8h

Annie English Um no. Lots of cases go unsolved. This one was for 5 years. Why are you going all tin foil hat? Is that just your normal behavior?



Reply



Reply to Annie English...



Kimberly Stavrakis Withers · 13h

Guilty, guilty, guilty, guilty! 🧐🧐



Reply



Susan Burrows · 16h

#EndWrongfulConvictions



Reply



Emily Wills · 20h

He's guilty



Reply



Lisa N Bridges · 20h

Death



Reply



Jeff Jarosak · 1d

FREE RA.....



Reply



Claire Ceci · 1d
Jennifer Halsmer,

My goodness, are you really asking this question now? Why don't you do some research about how some "cops" in other cases forced people into confessions. Look up "the murder of Stephanie Crowe". They even made a movie about this true crime case. The "cops" were blaming the 14 year old brother when it was really an intruder. Those cops forced that 14 year old kid to confess. It's really gross.

My firm belief now is that our Father in Heaven knows who the liars are. And they will not be dealt with very well in the afterlife for what they have done to Richard Allen or to anyone else who IS innocent.

👍 🗨 Reply Edited



Claire Ceci · 1d

A couple of other things. Richard Allen had NO criminal record. And how come no one turned him in based on the sketch or sketches? It's because it was not him. He is a patsy. I feel sick about what happened to those two girls. But I also feel terrible about what THEY have done to an innocent man, Richard Allen. I also feel so bad for his wife and daughter. Please stay strong. May God bless you all, is what I have to say. I have never spent one day in jail myself. I have not committed any crimes. But it is sure scary to think they can take an innocent man and do this to him. There will be no forgiveness to anyone who has done this. I follow the law. I have usually thought better of law enforcement but NOT after this.

👍 🗨 Reply 🍌 1



Sue Carpenter · 1d

He stated to his wife on a prison phone more than once that he did the murders..... what more does the justice scales need to weight out the juries decision. You don't keep trying someone until you get an answer that his attorney wants. Give the families a break, and let these girls rest in peace once and for all. Save the millions of tax payers dollars!

👍 🗨 Reply 🍌 3



Sue Carpenter · 1d

Pull the recording of the prison phone call and make it public. The reasses your decision.

👍 🗨 Reply



Larry McCullough · 21h

Sue Carpenter that is the dumbest thing the jail said. They have NO recordings of that phone call admission. The families will still grieve no matter what the outcome is. However, they would probably appreciate the truth and the person who is actually responsible for the crime instead of the man they want just to appease the families. Justice over grief!!

👍 🗨 Reply



King Ron · 19h

Sue Carpenter yeah, all the while the real murderer is out scott free laughing at people like you.

👍 🗨 Reply



Reply to Sue Carpenter...



Elizabeth Trilling · 1d

They say, if touch a piece of paper, you leave DNA. Where is the DNA? How could one murder 2 children and not leave a single bit of DNA? How?



Reply



Jenny Crume · 1d

I was at court yesterday as I will always be there until we get Justice for Rick that man did not do this he was not given a fair trial and they all know it and they all know who did this and I've been saying this for the last decade.. we will get our day in court again... And after we get Justice for Rick that's when the real Justice comes for Libby and Abby because right now there is no justice for any of them and that's the God's honest truth.. and I know this case better than anybody been in on day one and I also know everybody that surrounds this case including family seeing how I am like family to all of them.. they railroaded him and they know it!! No DNA Richard Allen didn't get a blue carhart until after 2019 and you can clearly see there is no logos on the blue jacket of the man on the bridge not to mention he's tall and thick Richard Allen is short... They took the geofencing away because it proves Rick was not there and who was and that's why they took that away and the third party think about it they set this man up..

There is so much about this case that most people don't even know about and things that happen in court and Carroll county that should have never been allowed to happen having a biased judge a prosecutor that was related to everybody was conflict of interest and so much more we need a new trial and a new judge that is not biased and a different prosecutor that's not family and all evidence entered into court oh but wait we didn't get a tell the appellate judges that Carroll county lost 70 days of interrogations and polygraphs how convenient for them they did that crap on purpose.. I know all of them and Carroll county and how dirty and evil and corrupted they are they know they did wrong and I pray to God we get a chance to prove that he did not do this because he is an innocent man.. and I'm so glad people are waking up and finally seeing this..



Reply



10



Shawn Palmi · 8h

[Jenny Crume](#) You seriously see a tall man when you see BG?



Reply



Reply to Jenny Crume...



Sammy Singleton · 1d

I would like to know why they are keeping him in prison outta state. To me makes you wonder if they are trying to hide something. If you look on Indiana department of corrections inmate finder and look up his name, it shows he is in a out of state facility



Reply



Tara V Kelly-Lippard · 19h

Sammy Singleton that's because they screwed up and now want to try and cover it up. I believe the family knows something too had that feeling from beginning. He is innocent. Why were these girls meeting a man off line ? Done it several times.



Reply



Reply to Sammy Singleton...



Marcus Cornell · 1d

I don't care how much everyone wants him to be innocent. No man ever said he was guilty when he wasn't. I don't care what anyone says. I would die & my last breath i would say I was innocent.



Reply



Shawn Palmi · 8h

Marcus Cornell It's actually pretty common for people to confess to crimes they didn't do for various reasons.



Reply



Marcus Cornell · 7h

Shawn Palmi it's your story tell it like you want.



Reply



Reply to Shawn Palmi...



Reply to Marcus Cornell...



Bob Fitzgerald · 1d

He was railroaded to close the case.



Reply



Jesse Hughett · 1d

God knows



Reply



Esme Loock Laubser · 1d

According to me , they got the wrong guy, but even if he did it, there were not enough evidence against him, and the judge did no one favours, she botched this case, it was not a fair trial



Reply



Daniel Prince · 1d



👍 🗨 Reply 👍 2



Claire Ceci · 1d

Ask yourself this question. How tall is Bridge Guy? The video of Bridge Guy places him at between 5 ft. 8 in. possibly up to 6 ft tall. How could Richard Allen be Bridge Guy? Richard Allen is between 5 ft 4 inches to 5 ft. 5 inches. When I saw the footage of Richard Allen I was shocked at how he wasn't possibly tall enough to be Bridge Guy. Once again, I say that justice has not been served. And it's really terrible that these 2 little girls were murdered and there is still a criminal or criminals on the loose.

👍 🗨 Reply 👍 2



Nick Johnson · 1d

He's guilty but that trial was garbage. Do or again and do it right so that there is zero chance he'll ever get out on some kind of appeal.

👍 🗨 Reply 👍 1




Anthony McNary · 2d

Too

👍 🗨 Reply



Charlotte Gearing · 2d



👍 🗨 Reply

Charlotte Gearing · 2d



👍 🗨 Reply 👍 1

Bsue Price · 2d
Guilty!

👍 🗨 Reply 👍 7

Karen Waters · 2d
Not going to happen 🤔

👍 🗨 Reply

Bridgett Cali Fitzpatrick · 2d
Guilty.....

👍 🗨 Reply 👍 4

Misty Gick · 2d

Well what did they decide on? I'd like to know. Really fed up with these people saying that he is innocent in the comments!!!!

👍 🗨 Reply Edited 👍 2

Joanne Mi · 2d

[Misty Gick](#) you should read up on his appeal and what the attorney argued . it's really really interesting and eye-opening

👍 🗨 Reply



Misty Gick · 2d

[Joanne Mi](#) like I said sick and tired of people saying that he is innocent. Did You or Didn't you read my statement correctly!! Obviously not, still tired of people being idiots.

👍 🗨 Reply



Rhonda Blair Quintero · 2d

[Misty Gick](#) Decide about what? The court's decision on the appeal can take weeks or months. Maybe you should actually read the article before you call others idiots.

👍 🗨 Reply



Reply to Beth Wenz Haisley...



Ronda Hurley · 2d

Rumor has it one of the prosecutors was related to one of the victims. I don't know what to believe so much more stuff surfacing



Reply



Joanne Mi · 2d

Ronda Hurley really????? Wow. Talk about prosecutorial bias.



Reply



Marcia Mahony · 1d

Joanne Mi No.



Reply



Brad Begley · 1d

Joanne Mi lie lie



Reply



Brad Begley · 1d

Joanne Mi rumor has it that Richard Allens wife helped him kill the girls



Reply



Reply to Joanne Mi...



Marcia Mahony · 1d

Ronda Hurley Don't believe and spread rumors without checking them out.



Reply



Brad Begley · 1d

Ronda Hurley lie lie



Reply



Brad Begley · 1d

Ronda Hurley rumor. has it Richard Allens wife helped him kill the girls



Reply

Edited



Reply to Ronda Hurley...



Debra Baker · 2d

Guilty as hell



Reply



Marcia Mahony · 2d

He did it.



Reply



Charlie Brattain · 2d

Free Rick Allen



Reply





Sherry Peterson · 2d

He sure looks like the picture, but he was heavier then.



Reply



3



Michelle Rainer-Gaughan · 2d

Sherry Peterson A million men look like that grainy pic! You can't even see the mans features. I have an ex boyfriend, someone I knew years ago, A few men I looked at in their profile profile pics. With the clarity of this not so great pic, what stands out to you that makes you think that?



Reply



2



Megan Bell · 2d

He looks and sounds like the killer, and he freely admitted to being on the bridge that day. If it quacks like a duck.....



Reply



1



Rhonda Blair Quintero · 2d

Megan Bell He sounds nothing like the bridge guy, and also that recording was heavily altered, per the police.

And there were dizens of people who visited the bridge that day. No physical evidence, no motive, no dna, nothing to connect him to the crime.



Reply



Reply to Michelle Rainer-Gaughan...



Reply to Sherry Peterson...



Zuri Jones · 2d

THIS is the problem when snarky judges don't allow all the evidence to be presented at trial. Then there are questions left unanswered. That judge should be pulled as a judge for the way this trial was handled.

*I'm not saying he's innocent or guilty. But ALL evidence should be allowed in a court of law so that jurors can make an informed decision.



Reply

Edited



39



Robyn Hlewka · 2d

Zuri Jones all that odinist shit is not evidence



Reply



Tommy J Bodnar · 2d

Zuri Jones evidence is evidence! Use it all, nothing should be thrown out, let the jury see everything don't filter nothing , let the jury decide if it fits don't feed them the just what you want them to see!



Reply



2



Krystal Carmack · 2d

Zuri Jones the judge retired right after his case



Reply



Edited



1



Zuri Jones · 2d

Krystal Carmack Good to know. She left herself a one 🏠 of a legacy.



Reply

Edited



Hernandez C Elizabeth · 1d

Krystal Carmack It's ironic that Doug Carter also retired and Toby Leazenby went to a different county still in law enforcement, though.



Reply



Reply to Krystal Carmack...



G Owen Yenolds · 1d

Zuri Jones let ALL evidence come in? Ssssssssoooooo hearsay is valid now?

Police reports?

Social media posts?

Tip hotline calls that are real, fake, or malicious?

Sounds like a horrible idea.



Reply



Zuri Jones · 1d

G Owen Yenolds You're obviously not familiar with the case. That is not what I'm talking about. This judge was losing her mind. She even tried to get his defense council removed. A man has a right to a defense AND to present an alternate theory along with evidence to support it, including eye witnesses, etc. She wouldn't allow most of it.



Reply



G Owen Yenolds · 1d

Zuri Jones nope. Not familiar at all. Just lived next door to the cousins for a decade and am an attorney.

No need to get into the facts when the premise of your question is ssssssssoooooo absolutely stupid.



Reply



Zuri Jones · 1d

G Owen Yenolds Every other attorney weighing in on this disagrees with you. What's the old saying? "When the debate is lost, insults are the loser's tools."



Reply



G Owen Yenolds · 1d

Zuri Jones what you said was allow EVERY piece of evidence in. That eliminates 2 very important rules:

- 1- relevance. It may be evidence, but does it do anything to move the needle towards reaching a verdict?
- 2- Probative value does not exceed prejudice. Essentially, will a piece of evidence cause confusion among the jury and actually reduce the chances of a verdict?

Those are very important safeguards to have and why Evidence is one of the hardest phases of any trial.

That's why police reports aren't admissible but can be used to impeach a witness (usually the officer who wrote the report). That's why hearsay isn't generally allowed (because anyone could put words in the mouth of someone else who isn't being called as a witness. That's why chain of custody on all physical evidence gathered by the police during investigation is so important. Break the chain of custody and that evidence needs to be quashed or else it will lead to confusion.

Admissibility of evidence vs its Probative weight/value are two distinctly different concepts. And they are different for a reason.

So, no, what the talking heads are discussing is where Judge Gull got it wrong on ruling on specific pieces of evidence or denying motions to present different defense theories.

No one is saying EVERYTHING should come in.

Pay closer to attention to what they are actually saying. Are the talking heads saying EVERYTHING should come in, or that everything on a particular list that they compiled should have come in.

Getting a ruling wrong vs changing the rules are different.

I called you out, continue to call you out, and will continue to call you out. You didn't say this particular case, you spoke of "snarky judges" and your last sentence: "[b]ut ALL evidence should be allowed in A COURT OF LAW...."

You spoke universally and you are wrong for it. Period.



Reply

Edited



Reply to G Owen Yenolds...



Reply to Zuri Jones...





Kimalie Webb · 1d

A jury of twelve found him guilty after reviewing all the evidence.

Witnesses on the bridge that day testified they saw Richard Allen on the bridge that day.

There is evidence that points to Allen's guilt. He confessed.

Allen was housed at the correctional center vs jail at the request of his defense attorneys.

👍 🗨 Reply 2



Christopher J Shepard · 5h

He deserves another trial

👍 🗨 Reply 1



Karen Callahan Stewart · 6h

This bastard should rot in jail.

👍 🗨 Reply



Joanne Healey · 12h

GUILTY! GUILTY! GUILTY!

👍 🗨 Reply 2



Jill Marie Cox · 15h

He not your guy! You got the wrong man while your punishing the wrong man the real man out there! Sad they don't see that!

👍 🗨 Reply



Pam Minnix · 15h

Don't let the killer free

👍 🗨 Reply



Brian Stewart · 17h

They should publicly execute this piece of shit.

👍 🗨 Reply 1



Dave Winfrey Jr. · 23h

The people that actually think he's innocent make flat earthers look normal and rational

👍 🗨 Reply 1



Bill Davis · 1d

Guilty should be on death row

👍 🗨 Reply



John Thomas · 1d

he was found guiltyby jury so he's guilty not up for debate. not up for all this. b*****
he should have got the death penalty. it was any of you on here talking back and forth
about all this BS. if it was your child, he would be guilty

👍 🗨 Reply Edited 1



Dave Pigecella · 1d

Burn in hell

👍 🗨 Reply



Floyd Hammond · 1d

If your mental illness causes you to admit to murder then you are also capable of Murder



Reply

Edited



Jackie Smudzinski · 1d

Rot in prison.



Reply



Craig Flynn · 1d

Stick him in the general population at the prison while this stupidity continues!



Reply



Jeff Nunnally · 1d

No DNA ,no murder weapon,not one speck of blood in the car he supposedly got in after murdering 2 people? Plus he talked to police the day after it happened and it took them 5 years to say,we had the info all along?



Reply



Brooke Gunderson · 1d

I've been following this case on YouTube for a VERY long time! Richard Allen is INNOCENT!!

Ron Logan (deceased in 2022) pedophile

Kegan Kline- cellmate of Ron Logan (pedophile)

Brad Holder- neighbor of Ron Logan

Father of Abby's boyfriend. In to Odenism (symbols were laid on both Abby and Libby's bodies) I don't remember who the other members of Brad Holders cult are. I'm sure they were involved also!

Also I'm SO tired of people spreading rumors that those girls were shot! Yes she'll casings where found nearby but that could have been do to anything other than those 2 particular murders.

I pray that WHEN Richard Allen is acquitted of all charges upon a reasonable doubt, he along with his Attorneys need to file a HUGE compensation to notorious only Richard Allen's attorney's, but a HUGE payout to Richard Allen for EVERYTHING that he's been through!! Shameful and disgusting!!!

Btw, don't even think about trying to reply with some ignorant opposition/opinion!

They will ALL be ignored fully by me!!! I'm just going to laugh at you! Keep that in mind and put that in ya book! 🙄🙄

Have a nice day...



Reply



Barbara Bean · 1d

He is !!!!! Hes guilty....hes nuts....



Reply



Mike Zeese · 1d

Yeah, and Luigi didn't shoot that guy in the back in New York either. Right!!!



Reply



Heather Cutter · 1d

Hell no

...



Reply

1



Philo M Bedoe · 1d

Fuck you, rot!

👍 🗨 Reply



SJ Clifford · 1d

What a crock of shit. He's guilty af.

👍 🗨 Reply



Sandi Smith · 1d

I hope he Rots on prison..he doesn't deserve to live..he's got evil written all over his face...

👍 🗨 Reply



John Hawkins Sr. · 1d

Let's not forget two sweet innocent girls were brutally tortured and murdered if you want to overlook obvious evidence you have to live with it don't make the parents and loved ones go through this again I'm sure they know who you are.

👍 🗨 Reply 👍 1



Albert Al-t Thomas Muskin Sr. · 1d

Nah FK him.. let him rot!!!

👍 🗨 Reply



Robin Welcher Thomlison · 1d

He should've never been arrested, much less convicted. BOTCHED from day one. Dude definitely didn't get a fair trial 😞

👍 🗨 Reply



Mike Marmande · 1d

Hope it overturns the the death penalty

👍 🗨 Reply



Kenny Loveless · 1d

Not that s it again kill him just like he did them 😡

👍 🗨 Reply



Austin Annis · 1d

I met his attorney that helped fuck him really hard before he withdrew...I met the peice of shit an he was wearing an Odin ring!!!

👍 🗨 Reply Edited



TiffanyMark Rees · 1d

It's sad that the small town Indiana judicial systems are so corrupt! They needed someone to convict for closure. But there's just one thing wrong with all this.. they withheld so much evidence, because they so badly needed him to take the fall!! I have personally seen the crime scene photos. There's no way possible that RA was able to carry those heavy dead children. Come on, again I've seen the crime scene photos. I actually have them in my possession. RA deserves a new trial in a new venue with fair and impartial jurors. Not an entire town and county running on super high emotions!!!! Please give him another trial! If he's truly guilty, they will find him guilty!! But at least there will be no questions!!!! And if he's not the killer... Shame on courts in that county!!!!

👍 🗨 Reply 👍 1



Kimberly Stavrakis Withers · 13h

TiffanyMark Rees nobody "carried" the girls. Rick Allen murdered them right there. They were petrified. He had a gun and had them undress.



Reply



TiffanyMark Rees · 12h

Kimberly Stavrakis Withers what ever you say!!!



Reply



TiffanyMark Rees · 11h

Kimberly Stavrakis Withers obviously you've never seen the crime scene photos .. But what ever



Reply



TiffanyMark Rees · 11h

Kimberly Stavrakis Withers well it will be interesting to see what happens. It's an absolute tragedy. My heart goes out to the families and community! I'm a Hoosier and this was too close to home!



Reply



Kimberly Stavrakis Withers · 11h

TiffanyMark Rees I honestly don't think Rick will ever be able to hurt another child again. He will never be free. The families are at peace with that. ❤️



Reply

Edited



Reply to Kimberly Stavrakis Withers...



Reply to TiffanyMark Rees...



Patti Doan · 1d

They really screwed this man



Reply



Terry Smoker · 1d

Patti Doan this man screwed himself



Reply



Faye Pedigo · 1d

Patti Doan I think so too, but I also think he was involved but he didn't do it alone



Reply



Reply to Patti Doan...



Gregory Kirk · 1d

Bridge guy looks much bigger than him.



Reply



Debra Nelson · 1d

He killed those kids,,, and trying to get out of murder 🤔🤔



Reply





Dave Turbin · 1d

He should rot in hell for what he did

👍 🗨 Reply



Paul Roberts · 2d

He's wasting taxpayer money taking care of his killing ass Monster and people that want him out are too

👍 🗨 Reply



Sharon Dewispeleare · 2d

He admitted to his wife he killed the girls

👍 🗨 Reply



Anthony McNary · 2d

He used to be fat too

👍 🗨 Reply



Ted Schott · 2d

trading noodles for ass ra forever lol.

👍 🗨 Reply



Bsue Price · 2d

Why would anyone want that scumbag back on the street?!

👍 🗨 Reply 🍌 1



Bsue Price · 2d

Guilty! Heard Mr Weber 's vehicle. Spooked him. Only killer would know that. He had a very fair trial 2.5 million worth. Who gets that kind of money for their defense?! Praying the families of Libby and Abby doesn't have to suffer through another trial. Because greedy lawyer's want their pockets refilled! 🙏

👍 🗨 Reply 🍌 1



Rhonda Blair Quintero · 2d

Travesty of justice.

Judge Fran Gull is as biased as they come and should be permanently removed from the bench.

I hope once RA is freed, he sues this corrupt state and wins millions.

👍 🗨 Reply



Wayne Osman · 2d

He needs to be put down, like a rabid dog.

👍 🗨 Reply



Jeremy Lee · 2d

Before that crazy Lindsey lady.....all y'all was ready to hang him.

👍 🗨 Reply



Misty D Jackson Winningham · 2d

Scenerios & Gossip doesn't help the Girls Family listening to people turn it around on being botched bec of being related is a crock of crap & this was why the Judge put a Gag order on the case bec of Scenerios & gossip lies that could cause a killer to walk !

👍 🗨 Reply



Alex Dossey · 2d

I find it hard to believe that one fat man (in the video) could mutilate 2 teenage girls without one of them getting away-in a wooded area.
Also they were MUTILATED. A BULLET was used to convict him. I am an army veteran. I have seen many BULLETS. I have NEVER seen a BULLET from a handgun MUTILATE anyone, let alone 2 teenage girls.

Something is not right!

👍 🗨 Reply 👍👍 4



Jennifer Callantine · 2d

There were more people involved and had plenty of time to cover up the horrific crimes that these poor souls endured they were most likely sacrificed/Satanic Ritual Abuse.

Law enforcement + Fr33masonz involvement

👍 🗨 Reply Edited



Jessica Arnold · 2d

I heard that the man who murdered the girls is OUT HERE RUNNING AROUND STILL !!!!

👍 🗨 Reply



Melissa Southern · 2d

FREE RICHARD ALLEN!! He is NOT GUILTY BEYOND REASONABLE DOUBT!!
Election Year... pressed to charge & get a conviction... THE CONVICTION IS OF THE WRONG MAN!!

This conviction does absolutely NO JUSTICE FOR THE GIRLS!!

Get it right McLeland!! You know you did this innocent man DIRTY!!

Justice for Abby & Libby! 💜💔

Justice for Richard Allen!!!!

👍 🗨 Reply 👍 5



Jill Alexander · 2d

they r reaching. and unlawful search of his house???...what about the unlawful killing of 2 young children!!!

👍 🗨 Reply 😂 1



Dantan Strong · 2d

It's that la porte cop and Indiana is one of the dirtiest states at covering for there cops and judges .. and charging innocent people.

👍 🗨 Reply



Mike Sims · 2d

Worst cover up in the state of Indiana, they are protecting the guilty people that did this. We demand justice for Abby & Libby!

👍 🗨 Reply 👍👍 3



Dave Chalk · 2d

Ok how about 190 years. ...

👍 🗨 Reply



Gary Weaver · 2d

As soon as the convicted invoke satanism, or a facsimile there of, that's as good as a guilty confession. Book em Dan o. Murder one. Aloha.



Reply



Tanya Savage · 2d

Why don't you you execute this m*****? Give him what he did to the people. That's what needs to happen in this f***** country. And these f***** dirt bags would think twice. Your liberal love and son of a b*****



Reply



Martin Ancil · 2d

Tanya Savage tell em how ya really feel ! lol



Reply



Tanya Savage · 2d

Martin Ancil that's only a way I tell everybody. How I really feel, I don't sugarcoat. S*** i'm not willy wonka



Reply



Reply to Martin Ancil...



Reply to Tanya Savage...



Jelly Roll · 2d

He should get a death sentence



Reply



Johnny Neptune · 2d

Just put his ass in a wood chipper. He is guilty AF!!!



Reply



David Reeves · 2d

Jailhouse justice time



Reply



Michelle Shafto · 2d

I have an idea..... Can you say firing squad!!!



Reply

